Quantum cohomology, isomonodromic deformations, and derived categories

Giordano Cotti

Grupo de Física Matemática Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa

Web-seminar on Painlevé Equations and related topics

8th April, 2022

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

Main theme: to study relations between

- topology,
- enumerative geometry,
- complex geometry

of a complex smooth projective variety X.

Cotti. IMRN, Vol.2022(2), 1454-1493 Cotti. In Geometric Methods in Physics XXXVIII, Trends in Mathematics, 2020 Cotti, Dubrovin, Guzzetti. arXiv:1811.09235 Cotti, Varchenko. In Integrability, Quantization, and Geometry, AMS, 2020

This is done via

▶ analysis of isomonodromic deformations of connections on P¹.

Cotti. arXiv:2005.08262

- Cotti, Dubrovin, Guzzetti. Duke Math. Journal, Volume 168, Number 6 (2019), 967-1108.
- Cotti, Dubrovin, Guzzetti. SIGMA 16 (2020), 040, 105 pages.
- Cotti, Guzzetti. Random Matrices Theory Appl., Vol. 6 (2017), no. 4, 1740004, 36 pp.
- Cotti, Guzzetti. Random Matrices Theory Appl., Vol. 07 (2018), no. 4, 1840003, 27 pp.

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくの

Frobenius Manifolds are complex manifolds whose tangent spaces admit a Frobenius algebra structure.

xy = yx.

xe = x.

(ロ) (型) (E) (E) (E) (O)



Examples coming from:

- Symplectic and Algebraic Geometry
- Singularity Theory

Milestones: Dubrovin, Hitchin, Kontsevich, Manin, Saito, Vafa, Witten, ...

What is enumerative geometry?

Let *C* be an algebraic curve in \mathbb{P}^2 . It can be described in two ways:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_0, x_1, x_2) &= 0, & x_0 &= P(t), \\ f &\in \mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, x_2], & \deg f &= d, & x_1 &= Q(t), \\ g &= \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2} - \# \text{nodes.} & x_2 &= R(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned}$$

C will be called rational if $P, Q, R \in \mathbb{C}(t)$.

Theorem: The curve C is rational iff g = 0.

Question: How many irreducible nodal rational curves of degree d in \mathbb{P}^2 ?

$$f(x_0, x_1, x_2) = \sum_{\substack{o_1 \\ o_2 \\ ij \neq d}} a_{ij} x_0^i x_1^j x_2^{d-(i+j)}$$

$$f(x_0, x_1, x_2) = \sum_{\substack{o_1 \\ o_2 \\ ij \neq d}} a_{ij} x_0^i x_1^j x_2^{d-(i+j)}$$

$$F(x_0, x_1, x_2) = (d+2)$$

・ロト ・母ト ・ヨト ・ヨー りへぐ

Expectation: $\#\{\text{nodal curves of degree } d \text{ through } 3d - 1 \text{ points}\} < \infty$

$$\frac{d=1}{N_{4}=1}$$

$$\frac{d=2}{N_{4}=1}$$

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 \times y \times y^{-1} \times$$

◆□ > < 個 > < E > < E > E 9 < 0</p>

Gromov-Witten theory attach to any smooth complex projective variety X a Frobenius manifold, its Quantum cohomology $QH^{\bullet}(X)$.

 $QH^{\bullet}(X)$ is a deformation of $H^{\bullet}(X)$, via counting numbers of rational curves on X



COLLECT GW INVARIANTS IN A GENERATING FUNCTION F.

$$\mathcal{F}_{X}\left(\underline{t}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa_{n},\kappa_{n}}^{N} \frac{\underline{t}^{M} \cdots \underline{t}^{K_{n}}}{\kappa_{1}} GW_{h_{n},d}\left(X, p_{n}, p_{n}\right)$$

ASSUMPTION: NON-EMPTY DOMAIN SL of CONVERGENCE of Fx

INVARIANTS of X

Trobening manifold ~ 2

Gromov-Witten theory attach to any smooth complex projective variety X a Frobenius manifold, its Quantum cohomology $QH^{\bullet}(X)$.

 $QH^{\bullet}(X)$ is a deformation of $H^{\bullet}(X)$, via counting numbers of rational curves on X Example : X = P¹ $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} := \frac{\partial^{2}F_{x}}{\partial t_{\alpha}\partial t_{\beta}\partial t_{\gamma}}, \quad \eta(A,B) := \int A \cup B$ dime H°(x, c)=2 $\Delta_{o_1} \Delta_{A}$ basis of $H^{\bullet}(X, C)$ $t_{o_1} t_{A}$ Jual coordinates $\frac{2}{\partial t_{\alpha}} * \frac{2}{\partial t_{\beta}} := \sum_{\lambda} c_{\alpha\beta}^{\lambda} \frac{2}{\partial t_{\lambda}}$ FAMILY of FROBENIUS ALGEBRAS $F_{X}(\underline{t}) = \frac{1}{2} t_{0}^{2} t_{1} + e^{t_{1}} - (1 + t_{1} + \frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2})$ 2. Simensional Frobenius mani foli

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ●

Gromov-Witten theory attach to any smooth complex projective variety X a Frobenius manifold, its Quantum cohomology $QH^{\bullet}(X)$.

QH*(X) is a deformation of H*(X), via counting numbers of rational curves on X ... THIS is just ONE HALF of THE STORY ... another class of Singularity Theory Manifolds

Mirror Symmetry is an isomorphism of Frobenius manifolds.

 $QH^{\bullet}(X) \cong (V, f \colon V \to \mathbb{C})$

うして ふゆう ふほう ふほう うらつ

To each point of $QH^{\bullet}(X)$ there is an attached differential equation

$$rac{dY}{dz}=\left(U(t)+rac{1}{z}V(t)
ight)Y,\quad z\in\mathbb{C}^*,\quad t\in \mathcal{QH}^ullet(X).$$

Its solutions are multivalued, and they manifest a Stokes phenomenon.

 \rightarrow Monodromy data of *qDE*



うして ふゆう ふほう ふほう うらつ

Results

Cotti, Dubrovin, Guzzetti. arXiv:1811.09235

```
Symplectic and Enumerative Geometry of X: QH^{\bullet}(X)
\bigvee_{qDE}
Complex geometry of X: \mathcal{D}^{b}(X)
```

The monodromy data of the qDE of X are determined by

- the topology of X (dimension, characteristic classes),
- characteristic classes of exceptional collections in $\mathcal{D}^{b}(X)$

 $(E_i)_{i=1}^n, \quad \operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}(E_i, E_i) \cong \mathbb{C}, \quad \operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}(E_j, E_i) = 0, \quad j > i.$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ エ ヨ ・ ト ・ 日 ・ う へ つ ・



Known cases: Grassmannians, Hirzebruch surfaces, via explicit computations.

IMPORTANT REHARK :

D^e(X) ~~ Riemann Hillet - Binkhoff ~~ Reconstruction of Boundary value pro Elem ~~ GW-theory of X Cotti, Dubrovin, Guzzetti. Duke Math. Journal, Volume 168, Number 6 (2019), 967-1108. Cotti, Dubrovin, Guzzetti. SIGMA 16 (2020), 040, 105 pages.

Theory of non-generic Isomonodromic Deformations

$$\frac{dY}{dz} = \left(U(t) + \frac{1}{z}V(t)\right)Y, \quad U = \operatorname{diag}(u_1(t), \ldots, u_n(t))$$

• Main problem: extend the analytical theory when $u_i(t) = u_j(t)$, $i \neq j$.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ エ ヨ ・ ト ・ 日 ・ う へ つ ・

- Results: Formal solutions, Asymptotics, Stokes phenomenon, (isomonodromic) deformation theory...
- Applications: Frobenius manifolds, Painlevé transcendents, Riemann-Hilbert problems...

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ④�?



Figure: Surprising connection with prime number distribution: equivalent formulations of RH. Cotti. IMRN, doi: 10.1093/imrn/rnaa163, 2020

 $G(K_n)$ is coalescing iff $\pi_1(n) \le k \le n - \pi_1(n)$. Satake principle

◆□▶ ◆◎▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ─ □

Results

Cotti, Varchenko. In Integrability, Quantization, and Geometry, AMS, 2020 Equivariant framework: let G act on X.

$$QH^{\bullet}_{G}(X) \longrightarrow_{qDE+qKZ} \mathcal{D}^{b}_{G}(X)$$

Maulik, Okounkov, Tarasov, Varchenko: the qDE admits a compatible system of difference equations

$$\begin{array}{c} Y(t,z_1,\ldots,z_i-1,\ldots,z_m) = K_i(t,z)Y(t,z), \quad i=1,\ldots,m. \\ \hline \\ Fauivariant \\ K-theory \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \hline$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

Results: perfect equivariant lifts of my previous results!

A very recent result



Cotti. arxiv:arXiv:2005.08262 Borel-Laplace (α, β) -multitransform

$$egin{aligned} &\mathscr{B}_{lpha,eta}[\Phi_1,\ldots,\Phi_h](z):=rac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma}\prod_{j=1}^h\Phi_j\left(z^{rac{\mathbf{1}}{lpha_jeta_j}}\lambda^{-eta_j}
ight)e^{\lambda}rac{d\lambda}{\lambda}, \ &\mathscr{L}_{lpha,eta}\left[\Phi_1,\ldots,\Phi_h
ight](z):=\int_0^\infty\prod_{i=1}^h\Phi_i(z^{lpha_ieta_i}\lambda^{eta_i})e^{-\lambda}d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

 \rightarrow integral representations of solutions of *qDE*'s

- wide class of varieties (Fano complete intersections),
- Mellin-Barnes integral representations,
- advantages w.r.t. Landau-Ginzburg oscillatory integrals,
- explicit asymptotic analysis.

Important example: Dubrovin's conjecture for Hirzebruch surfaces.

Francework

$$X$$
 France present projective vanish
 E vector bundle over X
 Y zoro bours of a regular section of E
 Y zoro bours of a regular section of E
 Y zoro bours of a regular section of E
 Y zoro bours of QDE of X .
Is it possible to construct integral representations of PP .
Main Results: YES!! At least under two "SPLITTING Assumptions"
 A . $E = \bigoplus$ fractional powers of det TX
 B . $X = \prod_{i=1}^{N} X_i$, X_i Farmo, $E = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{N} (det TX_i)^{P/q_i}$.
Tor example: in case A , we have
 $\oint Sol.$
 QDE of X and $\widehat{\varphi}(z) = e^{-cz} \int \dots \int_{z}^{\infty} \oint \left(\frac{z-zA}{z} \prod_{i=1}^{z} \frac{z_i}{z_i} \right) e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{z} i} \prod_{i=1}^{z} dX_i$
 M pole of X and $\widehat{\varphi}(z) = e^{-cz} \int \dots \int_{z}^{\infty} \oint \left(\frac{z-zA}{z} \prod_{i=1}^{z} \frac{z_i}{z_i} \right) e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{z} i} \prod_{i=1}^{z} dX_i$.

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Recall}:\\ \mbox{$F_{\kappa} \in \mathbb{P}^{4} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$}\\ \mbox{hypersurface of bidegree}\\ (1,k) \end{array}$ Application: Dubrovin's conjecture for Hirzebruch surfaces,

$$\mathbb{F}_k := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-k)), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

• Case of
$$\mathbb{F}_{2k}$$
: it easily follows from $\mathbb{F}_0 := \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$;

• Case of \mathbb{F}_{2k+1} : it is reduced to the case of $\mathbb{F}_1 := \widetilde{\mathbb{P}^2}$.

The *qDE* of
$$\widetilde{\mathbb{P}^2}$$
 can be reduced to the scalar equation:
 $(283z - 24)\vartheta^4\Phi + (283z^2 - 590z + 24)\vartheta^3\Phi + (-2264z^2 + 192z + 3)\vartheta^2\Phi$
 $- 4z^2 (2547z^2 + 350z - 104)\vartheta\Phi + z^2 (-3113z^3 - 9924z^2 + 1476z + 192)\Phi = 0.$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{P}^1) &:= \left\{ \Phi \colon \ \vartheta^2 \Phi = 4z^2 \Phi \right\}, \quad \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{P}^2) := \left\{ \Phi \colon \ \vartheta^3 \Phi = 27z^3 \Phi \right\} \\ \mathscr{P} \colon \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{P}^1) \otimes \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{P}^2) \to \mathcal{O}(\widetilde{\mathbb{C}^*}) \end{split}$$

$$\underbrace{\Phi}_{4} \in S(\mathbb{P}^{4}) \\
 \underbrace{\Phi}_{4}(2) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(A_{m,4} + A_{m,0} \log_{3}^{2}\right) \frac{j^{2m}}{(m!)^{2}} \\
 \underbrace{\Phi}_{2} \in S(\mathbb{P}^{2}) \\
 \underbrace{\Phi}_{2}(2) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(B_{n,2} + B_{n,1} \log_{2}^{2} + B_{n,2} \log_{2}^{2}\right) \frac{z^{2m}}{(m!)^{3}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \left(A_{0,i} B_{0,j}\right) \text{ with } i=0,1 \text{ and } j=0,1/2 \text{ are } (A_{0,i} B_{0,j}) \text{ with } i=0,1 \text{ and } j=0,1/2 \text{ are } (A_{0,i} B_{0,j}) \text{ with } i=0,1 \text{ and } j=0,1/2 \text{ are } (A_{0,i} B_{0,j}) \text{ with } i=0,1 \text{ and } j=0,1/2 \text{ are } (A_{0,i} B_{0,j}) \text{ with } i=0,1 \text{ and } j=0,1/2 \text{ are } (A_{0,i} B_{0,j}) \text{ with } i=0,1 \text{ and } j=0,1/2 \text{ are } (A_{0,i} B_{0,j}) \text{ with } i=0,1 \text{ and } j=0,1/2 \text{ are } (A_{0,i} B_{0,j}) \text{ with } i=0,1 \text{ and } j=0,1/2 \text{ are } (A_{0,i} B_{0,j}) \text{ and } j=0,1/2 \text{ are } (A_{0,i} B_{0,j}) \text{ and } j=0,1/2 \text{ are } (A_{0,i} B_{0,j}) \text{ and } j=0,1/2 \text{ are } (A_{0,i} B_{0,j}) \text{ and } j=0,1/2 \text{ are } (A_{0,i} B_{0,j}) \text{ and } j=0,1/2 \text{ are } (A_{0,i} B_{0,j}) \text{ and } j=0,1/2 \text{ are } (A_{0,i} B_{0,j}) \text{ and } j=0,1/2 \text{ are } (A_{0,i} B_{0,j}) \text{ and } j=0,1/2 \text{ are } (A_{0,i} B_{0,j}) \text{ and } j=0,1/2 \text{ are } (A_{0,i} B_{0,j}) \text{ and } j=0,1/2 \text{ are } (A_{0,i} B_{0,j}) \text{ and } j=0,1/2 \text{ are } (A_{0,i} B_{0,j}) \text{ and } j=0,1/2 \text{ are } (A_{0,i} B_{0,j}) \text{ and } j=0,1/2 \text{ are } (A_{0,i} B_{0,j}) \text{ and } j=0,1/2 \text{ are } (A_{0,i} B_{0,j}) \text{ and } j=0,1/2 \text{ are } (A_{0,i} B_{0,j}) \text{ and } j=0,1/2 \text{ are } (A_{0,i} B_{0,j}) \text{ and } j=0,1/2 \text{ are } (A_{0,i} B_{0,j}) \text{ are } (A_{0,i} B_{0$$

 \rightarrow Reconstruction of Stokes bases of solutions

The central connection matrix of \mathbb{F}_{2k+1} is

$$C_k = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2\pi} & -\frac{1}{2\pi} & \frac{1}{2\pi} & -\frac{1}{2\pi} \\ \frac{\gamma}{\pi} & -\frac{\gamma}{\pi} & i + \frac{\gamma}{\pi} & -i - \frac{\gamma}{\pi} \\ \frac{\gamma - 2\gamma k - i\pi}{2\pi} & -\frac{\gamma - 2\gamma k + i\pi}{2\pi} & \frac{-2\gamma k - i(2\pi k + \pi) + \gamma}{2\pi} & \frac{(2k-1)(\gamma + i\pi)}{2\pi} \\ \gamma \left(-i + \frac{2\gamma}{\pi}\right) & \gamma \left(-i - \frac{2\gamma}{\pi}\right) & \frac{2\gamma(\gamma + i\pi)}{\pi} & -\frac{2(\gamma + i\pi)^2}{\pi} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem

Dubrovin conjecture holds true for all Hirzebruch surfaces.

The matrix C_k is the matrix associated with the morphism

$$\begin{split} &\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{2k+1}}^{-} \colon \mathcal{K}_{0}(\mathbb{F}_{2k+1})_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathcal{H}^{\bullet}(\mathbb{F}_{2k+1},\mathbb{C}), \quad [\mathscr{F}] \mapsto \frac{1}{2\pi} \widehat{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{F}_{2k+1}}^{-} \cup e^{-\pi i c_{1}(\mathbb{F}_{2k+1})} \cup \mathrm{Ch}(\mathscr{F}), \\ &\text{w.r.t. an exceptional basis } \mathfrak{E} := (E_{i})_{i=1}^{4} \text{ of } \mathcal{K}_{0}(\mathbb{F}_{2k+1})_{\mathbb{C}_{2k+1}} \to \mathbb{C}_{2k+1} \to \mathbb{C}_{2k+1}$$

