

On splitting* game

Avoiding head-on battles is sometimes useful

Takashi Yamazoe

Kobe Set Theory Seminar

April 4, 2024

Table

- 1 Overview
- 2 Details
- 3 Fr-limit
- 4 Conclusion and Questions

- 1 Overview
- 2 Details
- 3 Fr-limit
- 4 Conclusion and Questions

splitting* game

A splitting* game defined in [CGH23] is an infinite game (of length ω) played by Player I and Player II with the following rules:

splitting* game

A splitting* game defined in [CGH23] is an infinite game (of length ω) played by Player I and Player II with the following rules:

Basic Rules:

splitting* game

A splitting* game defined in [CGH23] is an infinite game (of length ω) played by Player I and Player II with the following rules:

Basic Rules:

- (R1) At each stage $n < \omega$, Player I plays $x(n) \in 2$ and then Player II plays $y(n) \in 2$.

Player I	$x(0) \in 2$	$x(1) \in 2$...
Player II	$y(0) \in 2$	$y(1) \in 2$...

splitting* game

A splitting* game defined in [CGH23] is an infinite game (of length ω) played by Player I and Player II with the following rules:

Basic Rules:

- (R1) At each stage $n < \omega$, Player I plays $x(n) \in 2$ and then Player II plays $y(n) \in 2$.
- (R2) Both players have to play 1 infinitely many times.

Player I	$x(0) \in 2$	$x(1) \in 2$...
Player II	$y(0) \in 2$	$y(1) \in 2$...

splitting* game

A splitting* game defined in [CGH23] is an infinite game (of length ω) played by Player I and Player II with the following rules:

Basic Rules:

- (R1) At each stage $n < \omega$, Player I plays $x(n) \in 2$ and then Player II plays $y(n) \in 2$.
- (R2) Both players have to play 1 infinitely many times.
- (R3) Player II wins if $x^{-1}(\{1\})$ is split by $y^{-1}(\{1\})$.

Player I	$x(0) \in 2$	$x(1) \in 2$...
Player II	$y(0) \in 2$	$y(1) \in 2$...

splitting* game

A splitting* game defined in [CGH23] is an infinite game (of length ω) played by Player I and Player II with the following rules:

Basic Rules:

- (R1) At each stage $n < \omega$, Player I plays $x(n) \in 2$ and then Player II plays $y(n) \in 2$.
- (R2) Both players have to play 1 infinitely many times.
- (R3) Player II wins if $x^{-1}(\{1\})$ is split by $y^{-1}(\{1\})$.

Player I	$x(0) \in 2$	$x(1) \in 2$...
Player II	$y(0) \in 2$	$y(1) \in 2$...

If either player breaks (R2):

splitting* game

A splitting* game defined in [CGH23] is an infinite game (of length ω) played by Player I and Player II with the following rules:

Basic Rules:

- (R1) At each stage $n < \omega$, Player I plays $x(n) \in 2$ and then Player II plays $y(n) \in 2$.
- (R2) Both players have to play 1 infinitely many times.
- (R3) Player II wins if $x^{-1}(\{1\})$ is split by $y^{-1}(\{1\})$.

Player I	$x(0) \in 2$	$x(1) \in 2$...
Player II	$y(0) \in 2$	$y(1) \in 2$...

If either player breaks (R2):

- (B1) When exactly one player breaks (R2), the winner is the other one.

splitting* game

A splitting* game defined in [CGH23] is an infinite game (of length ω) played by Player I and Player II with the following rules:

Basic Rules:

- (R1) At each stage $n < \omega$, Player I plays $x(n) \in 2$ and then Player II plays $y(n) \in 2$.
- (R2) Both players have to play 1 infinitely many times.
- (R3) Player II wins if $x^{-1}(\{1\})$ is split by $y^{-1}(\{1\})$.

Player I	$x(0) \in 2$	$x(1) \in 2$...
Player II	$y(0) \in 2$	$y(1) \in 2$...

If either player breaks (R2):

- (B1) When exactly one player breaks (R2), the winner is the other one.
- (B2*) When both players break (R2), the winner is Player II.

splitting** game

splitting** game

A splitting** game is a variant of a splitting* game: the game follows all the basic rules and (B1).

splitting** game

A splitting** game is a variant of a splitting* game: the game follows all the basic rules and (B1).(B2*) is replaced by:

(B2**) When both players break (R2), the winner is Player I.

splitting** game

A splitting** game is a variant of a splitting* game: the game follows all the basic rules and (B1).(B2*) is replaced by:

(B2**) When both players break (R2), the winner is Player I.

Table: splitting* game.

II \ I	I	
	∞	$< \infty$
∞	(R3)	II
$< \infty$	I	II

Table: splitting** game.

II \ I	I	
	∞	$< \infty$
∞	(R3)	II
$< \infty$	I	I

splitting** game

A splitting** game is a variant of a splitting* game: the game follows all the basic rules and (B1).(B2*) is replaced by:

(B2**) When both players break (R2), the winner is Player I.

Table: splitting* game.

II \ I	I	
	∞	$< \infty$
∞	(R3)	II
$< \infty$	I	II

Table: splitting** game.

II \ I	I	
	∞	$< \infty$
∞	(R3)	II
$< \infty$	I	I

s_G^* is the minimum size of $F \subseteq 2^\omega$ such that no single strategy σ of I wins all play $y \in F$ of II in the splitting* games.

splitting** game

A splitting** game is a variant of a splitting* game: the game follows all the basic rules and (B1).(B2*) is replaced by:

(B2**) When both players break (R2), the winner is Player I.

Table: splitting* game.

II \ I	I	
	∞	$< \infty$
∞	(R3)	II
$< \infty$	I	II

Table: splitting** game.

II \ I	I	
	∞	$< \infty$
∞	(R3)	II
$< \infty$	I	I

\mathfrak{s}_G^* is the minimum size of $F \subseteq 2^\omega$ such that no single strategy σ of I wins all play $y \in F$ of II in the splitting* games.

\mathfrak{s}_G^{**} is defined by replacing “splitting*” by “splitting**”.

splitting** game

A splitting** game is a variant of a splitting* game: the game follows all the basic rules and (B1).(B2*) is replaced by:

(B2**) When both players break (R2), the winner is Player I.

Table: splitting* game.

II \ I	I	
	∞	$< \infty$
∞	(R3)	II
$< \infty$	I	II

Table: splitting** game.

II \ I	I	
	∞	$< \infty$
∞	(R3)	II
$< \infty$	I	I

\mathfrak{s}_G^* is the minimum size of $F \subseteq 2^\omega$ such that no single strategy σ of I wins all play $y \in F$ of II in the splitting* games.

\mathfrak{s}_G^{**} is defined by replacing “splitting*” by “splitting**”.

Main Theorem

$\mathfrak{s}_G^* < \mathfrak{s}_G^{**}$ consistently holds.

- 1 Overview
- 2 Details
- 3 Fr-limit
- 4 Conclusion and Questions

notation

Let us introduce some notation. Let $x, y \in 2^\omega$.

notation

Let us introduce some notation. Let $x, y \in 2^\omega$.

- $\mathbb{0} := \{z \in 2^\omega : z(n) = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } n < \omega\},$
 $\mathbb{1} := \{z \in 2^\omega : z(n) = 1 \text{ for all but finitely many } n < \omega\}.$

notation

Let us introduce some notation. Let $x, y \in 2^\omega$.

- $\mathbb{0} := \{z \in 2^\omega : z(n) = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } n < \omega\}$,
 $\mathbb{1} := \{z \in 2^\omega : z(n) = 1 \text{ for all but finitely many } n < \omega\}$.
- $x \sqsubset^s y$ if $x(n) = y(n) = 1$ and $x(m) = 1$ and $y(m) = 0$ for infinitely many $n, m < \omega$, i.e., $x^{-1}(\{1\})$ is split by $y^{-1}(\{1\})$.

notation

Let us introduce some notation. Let $x, y \in 2^\omega$.

- $\mathbb{0} := \{z \in 2^\omega : z(n) = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } n < \omega\}$,
 $\mathbb{1} := \{z \in 2^\omega : z(n) = 1 \text{ for all but finitely many } n < \omega\}$.
- $x \sqsubset^s y$ if $x(n) = y(n) = 1$ and $x(m) = 1$ and $y(m) = 0$ for infinitely many $n, m < \omega$, i.e., $x^{-1}(\{1\})$ is split by $y^{-1}(\{1\})$.
- $y \sqsubset^r x$ if $\neg(x \sqsubset^s y)$. Note that $y \sqsubset^r x$ holds whenever $y \in \mathbb{0}$.

notation

Let us introduce some notation. Let $x, y \in 2^\omega$.

- $\mathbb{0} := \{z \in 2^\omega : z(n) = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } n < \omega\}$,
 $\mathbb{1} := \{z \in 2^\omega : z(n) = 1 \text{ for all but finitely many } n < \omega\}$.
- $x \sqsubset^s y$ if $x(n) = y(n) = 1$ and $x(m) = 1$ and $y(m) = 0$ for infinitely many $n, m < \omega$, i.e., $x^{-1}(\{1\})$ is split by $y^{-1}(\{1\})$.
- $y \sqsubset^r x$ if $\neg(x \sqsubset^s y)$. Note that $y \sqsubset^r x$ holds whenever $y \in \mathbb{0}$.
- Let $j \in 2$ and $n < \omega$. $y \sqsubset_{j,n}^r x$ if for all $m \geq n$, $x(m) = 0$ or $y(m) = 1 - j$ holds. Note that $\sqsubset^r = \bigcup_{j \in 2} \bigcup_{n < \omega} \sqsubset_{j,n}^r$.

notation

Let us introduce some notation. Let $x, y \in 2^\omega$.

- $\mathbb{0} := \{z \in 2^\omega : z(n) = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } n < \omega\}$,
 $\mathbb{1} := \{z \in 2^\omega : z(n) = 1 \text{ for all but finitely many } n < \omega\}$.
- $x \sqsubset^s y$ if $x(n) = y(n) = 1$ and $x(m) = 1$ and $y(m) = 0$ for infinitely many $n, m < \omega$, i.e., $x^{-1}(\{1\})$ is split by $y^{-1}(\{1\})$.
- $y \sqsubset^r x$ if $\neg(x \sqsubset^s y)$. Note that $y \sqsubset^r x$ holds whenever $y \in \mathbb{0}$.
- Let $j \in 2$ and $n < \omega$. $y \sqsubset_{j,n}^r x$ if for all $m \geq n$, $x(m) = 0$ or $y(m) = 1 - j$ holds. Note that $\sqsubset^r = \bigcup_{j \in 2} \bigcup_{n < \omega} \sqsubset_{j,n}^r$.
- $y \triangleleft_* x$ if $x \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$ and $y \sqsubset^r x$.

notation

Let us introduce some notation. Let $x, y \in 2^\omega$.

- $\mathbb{0} := \{z \in 2^\omega : z(n) = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } n < \omega\}$,
 $\mathbb{1} := \{z \in 2^\omega : z(n) = 1 \text{ for all but finitely many } n < \omega\}$.
- $x \sqsubset^s y$ if $x(n) = y(n) = 1$ and $x(m) = 1$ and $y(m) = 0$ for infinitely many $n, m < \omega$, i.e., $x^{-1}(\{1\})$ is split by $y^{-1}(\{1\})$.
- $y \sqsubset^r x$ if $\neg(x \sqsubset^s y)$. Note that $y \sqsubset^r x$ holds whenever $y \in \mathbb{0}$.
- Let $j \in 2$ and $n < \omega$. $y \sqsubset_{j,n}^r x$ if for all $m \geq n$, $x(m) = 0$ or $y(m) = 1 - j$ holds. Note that $\sqsubset^r = \bigcup_{j \in 2} \bigcup_{n < \omega} \sqsubset_{j,n}^r$.
- $y \triangleleft_* x$ if $x \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$ and $y \sqsubset^r x$.
- $y \triangleleft_{**} x$ if $y \in \mathbb{0}$ or $y \triangleleft_* x$.

Note that $y \triangleleft_* x$ [$y \triangleleft_{**} x$] iff I wins with the play x against the play y of II in the splitting* [splitting**] game, respectively.

notation

- Str denotes the set of all I's strategies, namely, $\text{Str} := 2^{(2^{<\omega})}$.
For $\sigma \in \text{Str}$, $\sigma * y$ denotes the play of I according to the strategy σ and the play y of II, namely, $\sigma * y(n) := \sigma(y \upharpoonright n)$ for $n < \omega$. $y \triangleleft_* \sigma$ if $y \triangleleft_* \sigma * y$ and $y \triangleleft_{**} \sigma$ if $y \triangleleft_{**} \sigma * y$.

notation

- Str denotes the set of all I's strategies, namely, $\text{Str} := 2^{(2^{<\omega})}$.
For $\sigma \in \text{Str}$, $\sigma * y$ denotes the play of I according to the strategy σ and the play y of II, namely, $\sigma * y(n) := \sigma(y \upharpoonright n)$ for $n < \omega$. $y \triangleleft_* \sigma$ if $y \triangleleft_* \sigma * y$ and $y \triangleleft_{**} \sigma$ if $y \triangleleft_{**} \sigma * y$.
- $\mathfrak{s}_G^* := \min\{|F| : F \subseteq 2^\omega, \neg \exists \sigma \in \text{Str} \forall y \in F \ y \triangleleft_* \sigma\}$,
 $\mathfrak{s}_G^{**} := \min\{|F| : F \subseteq 2^\omega, \neg \exists \sigma \in \text{Str} \forall y \in F \ y \triangleleft_{**} \sigma\}$.

notation

- Str denotes the set of all I's strategies, namely, $\text{Str} := 2^{(2^{<\omega})}$.
For $\sigma \in \text{Str}$, $\sigma * y$ denotes the play of I according to the strategy σ and the play y of II, namely, $\sigma * y(n) := \sigma(y \upharpoonright n)$ for $n < \omega$. $y \triangleleft_* \sigma$ if $y \triangleleft_* \sigma * y$ and $y \triangleleft_{**} \sigma$ if $y \triangleleft_{**} \sigma * y$.
- $\mathfrak{s}_G^* := \min\{|F| : F \subseteq 2^\omega, \neg \exists \sigma \in \text{Str} \forall y \in F y \triangleleft_* \sigma\}$,
 $\mathfrak{s}_G^{**} := \min\{|F| : F \subseteq 2^\omega, \neg \exists \sigma \in \text{Str} \forall y \in F y \triangleleft_{**} \sigma\}$.

Fact ([CGH23])

$\mathfrak{s} \leq \mathfrak{s}_G^* \leq \text{non}(\mathcal{M}), \mathfrak{d}, \text{non}(\mathcal{N})$.

Moreover, $\{y \in 2^\omega : y \triangleleft_* \sigma\}$ is null for any $\sigma \in \text{Str}$.

Fact ([CGH23])

 $\mathfrak{s} \leq \mathfrak{s}_G^* \leq \text{non}(\mathcal{M}), \mathfrak{d}, \text{non}(\mathcal{N}).$

Moreover, $\{y \in 2^\omega : y \triangleleft_* \sigma\}$ is null for any $\sigma \in \text{Str}$.

Fact ([CGH23])

$$\mathfrak{s} \leq \mathfrak{s}_G^* \leq \text{non}(\mathcal{M}), \mathfrak{d}, \text{non}(\mathcal{N}).$$

Moreover, $\{y \in 2^\omega : y \triangleleft_* \sigma\}$ is null for any $\sigma \in \text{Str}$.

Lemma

$$\mathfrak{s}_G^* \leq \mathfrak{s}_G^{**} \leq \text{non}(\mathcal{M}), \text{non}(\mathcal{N}).$$

Fact ([CGH23])

$\mathfrak{s} \leq \mathfrak{s}_G^* \leq \text{non}(\mathcal{M}), \mathfrak{d}, \text{non}(\mathcal{N})$.

Moreover, $\{y \in 2^\omega : y \triangleleft_* \sigma\}$ is null for any $\sigma \in \text{Str}$.

Lemma

$\mathfrak{s}_G^* \leq \mathfrak{s}_G^{**} \leq \text{non}(\mathcal{M}), \text{non}(\mathcal{N})$.

Proof. $\mathfrak{s}_G^* \leq \mathfrak{s}_G^{**}$ is clear.

Fact ([CGH23])

 $\mathfrak{s} \leq \mathfrak{s}_G^* \leq \text{non}(\mathcal{M}), \mathfrak{d}, \text{non}(\mathcal{N}).$

Moreover, $\{y \in 2^\omega : y \triangleleft_* \sigma\}$ is null for any $\sigma \in \text{Str}$.

Lemma

 $\mathfrak{s}_G^* \leq \mathfrak{s}_G^{**} \leq \text{non}(\mathcal{M}), \text{non}(\mathcal{N}).$

Proof. $\mathfrak{s}_G^* \leq \mathfrak{s}_G^{**}$ is clear. It suffices to show that for $\sigma \in \text{Str}$,
 $A := \{y \in 2^\omega : y \triangleleft_{**} \sigma\} \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{M}.$

Fact ([CGH23])

$$\mathfrak{s} \leq \mathfrak{s}_G^* \leq \text{non}(\mathcal{M}), \mathfrak{d}, \text{non}(\mathcal{N}).$$

Moreover, $\{y \in 2^\omega : y \triangleleft_* \sigma\}$ is null for any $\sigma \in \text{Str}$.

Lemma

$$\mathfrak{s}_G^* \leq \mathfrak{s}_G^{**} \leq \text{non}(\mathcal{M}), \text{non}(\mathcal{N}).$$

Proof. $\mathfrak{s}_G^* \leq \mathfrak{s}_G^{**}$ is clear. It suffices to show that for $\sigma \in \text{Str}$,
 $A := \{y \in 2^\omega : y \triangleleft_{**} \sigma\} \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{M}$. First,
 $A = \{y \in 2^\omega \setminus \emptyset : y \triangleleft_* \sigma\} \cup \emptyset$ is null.

Fact ([CGH23])

$\mathfrak{s} \leq \mathfrak{s}_G^* \leq \text{non}(\mathcal{M}), \mathfrak{d}, \text{non}(\mathcal{N})$.

Moreover, $\{y \in 2^\omega : y \triangleleft_* \sigma\}$ is null for any $\sigma \in \text{Str}$.

Lemma

$\mathfrak{s}_G^* \leq \mathfrak{s}_G^{**} \leq \text{non}(\mathcal{M}), \text{non}(\mathcal{N})$.

Proof. $\mathfrak{s}_G^* \leq \mathfrak{s}_G^{**}$ is clear. It suffices to show that for $\sigma \in \text{Str}$, $A := \{y \in 2^\omega : y \triangleleft_{**} \sigma\} \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{M}$. First, $A = \{y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0} : y \triangleleft_* \sigma\} \cup \mathbb{0}$ is null. For meagerness, we may assume that σ satisfies for all $y \in \mathbb{1}$, $y \triangleleft_{**} \sigma$ ($\Leftrightarrow \sigma * y \notin \mathbb{0}$), since we may assume $F \supseteq \mathbb{1}$ in the definition of \mathfrak{s}_G^{**} .

Fact ([CGH23])

$$\mathfrak{s} \leq \mathfrak{s}_G^* \leq \text{non}(\mathcal{M}), \mathfrak{d}, \text{non}(\mathcal{N}).$$

Moreover, $\{y \in 2^\omega : y \triangleleft_* \sigma\}$ is null for any $\sigma \in \text{Str}$.

Lemma

$$\mathfrak{s}_G^* \leq \mathfrak{s}_G^{**} \leq \text{non}(\mathcal{M}), \text{non}(\mathcal{N}).$$

Proof. $\mathfrak{s}_G^* \leq \mathfrak{s}_G^{**}$ is clear. It suffices to show that for $\sigma \in \text{Str}$,

$A := \{y \in 2^\omega : y \triangleleft_{**} \sigma\} \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{M}$. First,

$A = \{y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0} : y \triangleleft_* \sigma\} \cup \mathbb{0}$ is null. For meagerness, we may assume that σ satisfies for all $y \in \mathbb{1}$, $y \triangleleft_{**} \sigma \Leftrightarrow \sigma * y \notin \mathbb{0}$, since we may assume $F \supseteq \mathbb{1}$ in the definition of \mathfrak{s}_G^{**} . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} A &\subseteq \{y \in 2^\omega : y \sqsubset^r \sigma\} = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{2}} \bigcup_{n < \omega} \{y \in 2^\omega : y \sqsubset_{j,n}^r \sigma * y\} = \\ &\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{2}} \bigcup_{n < \omega} \bigcap_{m \geq n} (\{y \in 2^\omega : \sigma * y(m) = 0\} \cup \{y \in 2^\omega : y(m) = j\}) \end{aligned}$$

is meager. □

Fact ([CGH23])

$$\mathfrak{s} \leq \mathfrak{s}_G^* \leq \text{non}(\mathcal{M}), \mathfrak{d}, \text{non}(\mathcal{N}).$$

Moreover, $\{y \in 2^\omega : y \triangleleft_* \sigma\}$ is null for any $\sigma \in \text{Str}$.

Lemma

$$\mathfrak{s}_G^* \leq \mathfrak{s}_G^{**} \leq \text{non}(\mathcal{M}), \text{non}(\mathcal{N}).$$

Proof. $\mathfrak{s}_G^* \leq \mathfrak{s}_G^{**}$ is clear. It suffices to show that for $\sigma \in \text{Str}$,

$A := \{y \in 2^\omega : y \triangleleft_{**} \sigma\} \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{M}$. First,

$A = \{y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0} : y \triangleleft_* \sigma\} \cup \mathbb{0}$ is null. For meagerness, we may assume that σ satisfies for all $y \in \mathbb{1}$, $y \triangleleft_{**} \sigma \Leftrightarrow \sigma * y \notin \mathbb{0}$, since we may assume $F \supseteq \mathbb{1}$ in the definition of \mathfrak{s}_G^{**} . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} A &\subseteq \{y \in 2^\omega : y \sqsubset^r \sigma\} = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{2}} \bigcup_{n < \omega} \{y \in 2^\omega : y \sqsubset_{j,n}^r \sigma * y\} = \\ &\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{2}} \bigcup_{n < \omega} \bigcap_{m \geq n} (\{y \in 2^\omega : \sigma * y(m) = 0\} \cup \{y \in 2^\omega : y(m) = j\}) \end{aligned}$$

is meager. \square

Question

$$\mathfrak{s}_G^{**} \leq \mathfrak{d}?$$

\mathbb{P}^* and \mathbb{P}^* , which increase \mathfrak{s}_G^* and \mathfrak{s}_G^{**} , respectively

We introduce posets \mathbb{P}^* and \mathbb{P}^{**} which generically add a winning strategy and hence increases \mathfrak{s}_G^* and \mathfrak{s}_G^{**} , respectively.

\mathbb{P}^* and \mathbb{P}^{**} , which increase \mathfrak{s}_G^* and \mathfrak{s}_G^{**} , respectively

We introduce posets \mathbb{P}^* and \mathbb{P}^{**} which generically add a winning strategy and hence increases \mathfrak{s}_G^* and \mathfrak{s}_G^{**} , respectively.

Definition

- $\text{FinStr} := \bigcup_{n < \omega} 2^{2^{< n}}$.

\mathbb{P}^* and \mathbb{P}^{**} , which increase \mathfrak{s}_G^* and \mathfrak{s}_G^{**} , respectively

We introduce posets \mathbb{P}^* and \mathbb{P}^{**} which generically add a winning strategy and hence increases \mathfrak{s}_G^* and \mathfrak{s}_G^{**} , respectively.

Definition

- $\text{FinStr} := \bigcup_{n < \omega} 2^{2^{< n}}$.
- $\mathbb{P}^{**} := \{(\sigma, F) : \sigma \in \text{FinStr}, F \in [2^\omega]^{< \omega}\}$.
 $(\sigma', F') \leq (\sigma, F) :\Leftrightarrow \sigma' \supseteq \sigma, F' \supseteq F$ and for all $n \in [|\sigma|, |\sigma'|)$
and $y \in F$, $\sigma'(y \restriction n) = 0$ or $y(n) = 1$ (i.e., $\sigma'(y \restriction n) \leq y(n)$).

\mathbb{P}^* and \mathbb{P}^{**} , which increase \mathfrak{s}_G^* and \mathfrak{s}_G^{**} , respectively

We introduce posets \mathbb{P}^* and \mathbb{P}^{**} which generically add a winning strategy and hence increases \mathfrak{s}_G^* and \mathfrak{s}_G^{**} , respectively.

Definition

- $\text{FinStr} := \bigcup_{n < \omega} 2^{2^{<n}}$.
- $\mathbb{P}^{**} := \{(\sigma, F) : \sigma \in \text{FinStr}, F \in [2^\omega]^{<\omega}\}$.
 $(\sigma', F') \leq (\sigma, F) :\Leftrightarrow \sigma' \supseteq \sigma, F' \supseteq F$ and for all $n \in [|\sigma|, |\sigma'|)$ and $y \in F$, $\sigma'(y \restriction n) = 0$ or $y(n) = 1$ (i.e., $\sigma'(y \restriction n) \leq y(n)$).
- $\mathbb{P}^* := \{(\sigma, F) \in \mathbb{P}^{**} : F \subseteq 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}\}$ and the order is defined by restriction.

\mathbb{P}^* and \mathbb{P}^{**} , which increase \mathfrak{s}_G^* and \mathfrak{s}_G^{**} , respectively

We introduce posets \mathbb{P}^* and \mathbb{P}^{**} which generically add a winning strategy and hence increases \mathfrak{s}_G^* and \mathfrak{s}_G^{**} , respectively.

Definition

- $\text{FinStr} := \bigcup_{n < \omega} 2^{2^{<n}}$.
- $\mathbb{P}^{**} := \{(\sigma, F) : \sigma \in \text{FinStr}, F \in [2^\omega]^{<\omega}\}.$
 $(\sigma', F') \leq (\sigma, F) :\Leftrightarrow \sigma' \supseteq \sigma, F' \supseteq F$ and for all $n \in [|\sigma|, |\sigma'|)$ and $y \in F$, $\sigma'(y \upharpoonright n) = 0$ or $y(n) = 1$ (i.e., $\sigma'(y \upharpoonright n) \leq y(n)$).
- $\mathbb{P}^* := \{(\sigma, F) \in \mathbb{P}^{**} : F \subseteq 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}\}$ and the order is defined by restriction.
- For both \mathbb{P}^* and \mathbb{P}^{**} , σ_G denotes the generic strategy
 $\sigma_G := \bigcup_{(\sigma, F) \in G} \sigma$ for a generic filter G .

Lemma

- 1 \mathbb{P}^* and \mathbb{P}^{**} are σ -centered.

Lemma

- 1 \mathbb{P}^* and \mathbb{P}^{**} are σ -centered.
- 2 For $y \in 2^\omega$, $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^{**}} y \sqsubset_0^r \sigma_G$, where $\sqsubset_0^r := \bigcup_{n < \omega} \sqsubset_{0,n}^r$.

Lemma

- 1 \mathbb{P}^* and \mathbb{P}^{**} are σ -centered.
- 2 For $y \in 2^\omega$, $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^{**}} y \sqsubset_0^r \sigma_G$, where $\sqsubset_0^r := \bigcup_{n < \omega} \sqsubset_{0,n}^r$.
- 3 For $y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$, $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^*} y \sqsubset_0^r \sigma_G$.

Lemma

- ① \mathbb{P}^* and \mathbb{P}^{**} are σ -centered.
- ② For $y \in 2^\omega$, $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^{**}} y \sqsubset_0^r \sigma_G$, where $\sqsubset_0^r := \bigcup_{n < \omega} \sqsubset_{0,n}^r$.
- ③ For $y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$, $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^*} y \sqsubset_0^r \sigma_G$.
- ④ For $y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$, $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^{**}} \sigma_G * y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$.

Lemma

- ① \mathbb{P}^* and \mathbb{P}^{**} are σ -centered.
- ② For $y \in 2^\omega$, $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^{**}} y \sqsubset_0^r \sigma_G$, where $\sqsubset_0^r := \bigcup_{n < \omega} \sqsubset_{0,n}^r$.
- ③ For $y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$, $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^*} y \sqsubset_0^r \sigma_G$.
- ④ For $y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$, $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^{**}} \sigma_G * y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$.
- ⑤ For $y \in 2^\omega$, $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^*} \sigma_G * y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$.

Lemma

- ① \mathbb{P}^* and \mathbb{P}^{**} are σ -centered.
- ② For $y \in 2^\omega$, $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^{**}} y \sqsubset_0^r \sigma_G$, where $\sqsubset_0^r := \bigcup_{n < \omega} \sqsubset_{0,n}^r$.
- ③ For $y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$, $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^*} y \sqsubset_0^r \sigma_G$.
- ④ For $y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$, $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^{**}} \sigma_G * y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$.
- ⑤ For $y \in 2^\omega$, $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^*} \sigma_G * y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$.

Proof. The first three items are easy, so we prove the remaining two.

Lemma

- ① \mathbb{P}^* and \mathbb{P}^{**} are σ -centered.
- ② For $y \in 2^\omega$, $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^{**}} y \sqsubset_0^r \sigma_G$, where $\sqsubset_0^r := \bigcup_{n < \omega} \sqsubset_{0,n}^r$.
- ③ For $y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$, $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^*} y \sqsubset_0^r \sigma_G$.
- ④ For $y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$, $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^{**}} \sigma_G * y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$.
- ⑤ For $y \in 2^\omega$, $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^*} \sigma_G * y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$.

Proof. The first three items are easy, so we prove the remaining two. In both cases, for $y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$ and $m < \omega$, there are densely many (σ, F) satisfying that there is $n > m$ such that $y(n) = 1$, $y \upharpoonright n \neq z \upharpoonright n$ for all different $z \in F$, $|\sigma| > n$ and $\sigma(y \upharpoonright n) = 1$.

Lemma

- ① \mathbb{P}^* and \mathbb{P}^{**} are σ -centered.
- ② For $y \in 2^\omega$, $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^{**}} y \sqsubset_0^r \sigma_G$, where $\sqsubset_0^r := \bigcup_{n < \omega} \sqsubset_{0,n}^r$.
- ③ For $y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$, $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^*} y \sqsubset_0^r \sigma_G$.
- ④ For $y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$, $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^{**}} \sigma_G * y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$.
- ⑤ For $y \in 2^\omega$, $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^*} \sigma_G * y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$.

Proof. The first three items are easy, so we prove the remaining two. In both cases, for $y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$ and $m < \omega$, there are densely many (σ, F) satisfying that there is $n > m$ such that $y(n) = 1$, $y \upharpoonright n \neq z \upharpoonright n$ for all different $z \in F$, $|\sigma| > n$ and $\sigma(y \upharpoonright n) = 1$. In the case of \mathbb{P}^* , for $y \in \mathbb{0}$ and $m < \omega$ there are densely many (σ, F) satisfying that there is $n > m$ such that $y \upharpoonright n \neq z \upharpoonright n$ for all $z \in F$, $n < |\sigma|$ and $\sigma(y \upharpoonright n) = 1$ since $y \notin F$. □

Lemma

- ① \mathbb{P}^* and \mathbb{P}^{**} are σ -centered.
- ② For $y \in 2^\omega$, $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^{**}} y \sqsubset_0^r \sigma_G$, where $\sqsubset_0^r := \bigcup_{n < \omega} \sqsubset_{0,n}^r$.
- ③ For $y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$, $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^*} y \sqsubset_0^r \sigma_G$.
- ④ For $y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$, $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^{**}} \sigma_G * y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$.
- ⑤ For $y \in 2^\omega$, $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^*} \sigma_G * y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$.

Proof. The first three items are easy, so we prove the remaining two. In both cases, for $y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$ and $m < \omega$, there are densely many (σ, F) satisfying that there is $n > m$ such that $y(n) = 1$, $y \upharpoonright n \neq z \upharpoonright n$ for all different $z \in F$, $|\sigma| > n$ and $\sigma(y \upharpoonright n) = 1$.

In the case of \mathbb{P}^* , for $y \in \mathbb{0}$ and $m < \omega$ there are densely many (σ, F) satisfying that there is $n > m$ such that $y \upharpoonright n \neq z \upharpoonright n$ for all $z \in F$, $n < |\sigma|$ and $\sigma(y \upharpoonright n) = 1$ since $y \notin F$. □

Corollary

For $y \in 2^\omega$, $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^{**}} y \triangleleft_{**} \sigma_G$ and $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^*} y \triangleleft_* \sigma_G$. Hence, by iteration, \mathbb{P}^* and \mathbb{P}^{**} increases \mathfrak{s}_G^* and \mathfrak{s}_G^{**} , respectively.

- 1 Overview
- 2 Details
- 3 Fr-limit
- 4 Conclusion and Questions

We showed \mathbb{P}^{**} increases \mathfrak{s}_G^{**} .

We showed \mathbb{P}^{**} increases \mathfrak{s}_G^{**} .

In fact, \mathbb{P}^{**} does not increase \mathfrak{s}_G^* .

We showed \mathbb{P}^{**} increases s_G^{**} .

In fact, \mathbb{P}^{**} does not increase s_G^* .

To prove it, we use **Fr-limits**.

We showed \mathbb{P}^{**} increases \mathfrak{s}_G^{**} .

In fact, \mathbb{P}^{**} does not increase \mathfrak{s}_G^* .

To prove it, we use **Fr-limits**.

We show:

- 1 (Key Lemma 1) \mathbb{P}^{**} has Fr-limits, and

We showed \mathbb{P}^{**} increases \mathfrak{s}_G^{**} .

In fact, \mathbb{P}^{**} does not increase \mathfrak{s}_G^* .

To prove it, we use **Fr-limits**.

We show:

- 1 (Key Lemma 1) \mathbb{P}^{**} has Fr-limits, and
- 2 (Key Lemma 2) Fr-limits keep \mathfrak{s}_G^* small.

Definition

- ① $Q \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ is Fr-linked if there exists a function $\lim: Q^\omega \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ such that for any countable sequence $\bar{q} = \langle q_m : m < \omega \rangle \in Q^\omega$,
- $$\lim \bar{q} \Vdash \exists^\infty m < \omega \ q_m \in \dot{G}.$$

Definition

- 1 $Q \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ is Fr-linked if there exists a function $\lim: Q^\omega \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ such that for any countable sequence $\bar{q} = \langle q_m : m < \omega \rangle \in Q^\omega$,

$$\lim \bar{q} \Vdash \exists^\infty m < \omega \ q_m \in \dot{G}.$$
 - 2 $Q \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ is ultrafilter-limit-linked if for any non-principal ultrafilter D on $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$, there are a \mathbb{P} -name \dot{D}' of an ultrafilter extending D and $\lim^D: Q^\omega \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ such that for any $\bar{q} = \langle q_m : m < \omega \rangle \in Q^\omega$,

$$\lim^D \bar{q} \Vdash \{m < \omega : q_m \in \dot{G}\} \in \dot{D}'.$$
- Note that any ultrafilter-limit-linked component is Fr-linked.

Definition

- ① $Q \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ is Fr-linked if there exists a function $\lim: Q^\omega \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ such that for any countable sequence $\bar{q} = \langle q_m : m < \omega \rangle \in Q^\omega$,
$$\lim \bar{q} \Vdash \exists^\infty m < \omega \ q_m \in \dot{G}.$$
- ② $Q \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ is ultrafilter-limit-linked if for any non-principal ultrafilter D on $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$, there are a \mathbb{P} -name \dot{D}' of an ultrafilter extending D and $\lim^D: Q^\omega \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ such that for any $\bar{q} = \langle q_m : m < \omega \rangle \in Q^\omega$,
$$\lim^D \bar{q} \Vdash \{m < \omega : q_m \in \dot{G}\} \in \dot{D}'.$$

Note that any ultrafilter-limit-linked component is Fr-linked.

- ③ \mathbb{P} is σ -Fr-linked (we often say \mathbb{P} has Fr-limits, instead) if \mathbb{P} is a union of countably many Fr-linked components.
“ σ -ultrafilter-limit-linked” is defined in the same way.

Definition

- 1 $Q \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ is Fr-linked if there exists a function $\lim: Q^\omega \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ such that for any countable sequence $\bar{q} = \langle q_m : m < \omega \rangle \in Q^\omega$,
$$\lim \bar{q} \Vdash \exists^\infty m < \omega \ q_m \in \dot{G}.$$
- 2 $Q \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ is ultrafilter-limit-linked if for any non-principal ultrafilter D on $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$, there are a \mathbb{P} -name \dot{D}' of an ultrafilter extending D and $\lim^D: Q^\omega \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ such that for any $\bar{q} = \langle q_m : m < \omega \rangle \in Q^\omega$,
$$\lim^D \bar{q} \Vdash \{m < \omega : q_m \in \dot{G}\} \in \dot{D}'.$$

Note that any ultrafilter-limit-linked component is Fr-linked.

- 3 \mathbb{P} is σ -Fr-linked (we often say \mathbb{P} has Fr-limits, instead) if \mathbb{P} is a union of countably many Fr-linked components.
“ σ -ultrafilter-limit-linked” is defined in the same way.

Example

Singletons are ultrafilter-limit-linked and particularly Cohen forcing \mathbb{C} is σ -Fr-linked.

Key Lemma 1: \mathbb{P}^{**} has Fr-limits

Key Lemma 1

$Q_{\sigma,k} := \{(\sigma', F) \in \mathbb{P}^{**} : \sigma' = \sigma, |F| \leq k\}$ is ultrafilter-limit-linked for $\sigma \in \text{FinStr}$ and $k < \omega$. In particular, \mathbb{P}^{**} is σ -Fr-linked.

Key Lemma 1: \mathbb{P}^{**} has Fr-limits

Key Lemma 1

$Q_{\sigma,k} := \{(\sigma', F) \in \mathbb{P}^{**} : \sigma' = \sigma, |F| \leq k\}$ is ultrafilter-limit-linked for $\sigma \in \text{FinStr}$ and $k < \omega$. In particular, \mathbb{P}^{**} is σ -Fr-linked.

Sketch of proof. Let D be a non-principal ultrafilter on $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ and $\bar{q} = \langle q_m := (\sigma, F_m = \{y_i^m : i < k\}) : m < \omega \rangle \in (Q_{\sigma,k})^\omega$.

Key Lemma 1: \mathbb{P}^{**} has Fr-limits

Key Lemma 1

$Q_{\sigma,k} := \{(\sigma', F) \in \mathbb{P}^{**} : \sigma' = \sigma, |F| \leq k\}$ is ultrafilter-limit-linked for $\sigma \in \text{FinStr}$ and $k < \omega$. In particular, \mathbb{P}^{**} is σ -Fr-linked.

Sketch of proof. Let D be a non-principal ultrafilter on $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ and $\bar{q} = \langle q_m := (\sigma, F_m = \{y_i^m : i < k\}) : m < \omega \rangle \in (Q_{\sigma,k})^\omega$. For $i < k$, define $y_i^\infty \in 2^\omega$ by for $n < \omega$ and $j \in 2$:

Key Lemma 1: \mathbb{P}^{**} has Fr-limits

Key Lemma 1

$Q_{\sigma,k} := \{(\sigma', F) \in \mathbb{P}^{**} : \sigma' = \sigma, |F| \leq k\}$ is ultrafilter-limit-linked for $\sigma \in \text{FinStr}$ and $k < \omega$. In particular, \mathbb{P}^{**} is σ -Fr-linked.

Sketch of proof. Let D be a non-principal ultrafilter on $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ and $\bar{q} = \langle q_m := (\sigma, F_m = \{y_i^m : i < k\}) : m < \omega \rangle \in (Q_{\sigma,k})^\omega$. For $i < k$, define $y_i^\infty \in 2^\omega$ by for $n < \omega$ and $j \in 2$:

$$y_i^\infty(n) = j :\Leftrightarrow \{m < \omega : y_i^m(n) = j\} \in D.$$

Key Lemma 1: \mathbb{P}^{**} has Fr-limits

Key Lemma 1

$Q_{\sigma,k} := \{(\sigma', F) \in \mathbb{P}^{**} : \sigma' = \sigma, |F| \leq k\}$ is ultrafilter-limit-linked for $\sigma \in \text{FinStr}$ and $k < \omega$. In particular, \mathbb{P}^{**} is σ -Fr-linked.

Sketch of proof. Let D be a non-principal ultrafilter on $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ and $\bar{q} = \langle q_m := (\sigma, F_m = \{y_i^m : i < k\}) : m < \omega \rangle \in (Q_{\sigma,k})^\omega$. For $i < k$, define $y_i^\infty \in 2^\omega$ by for $n < \omega$ and $j \in 2$:

$$y_i^\infty(n) = j \Leftrightarrow \{m < \omega : y_i^m(n) = j\} \in D.$$

$\lim^D \bar{q} := (\sigma, \{y_i^\infty : i < k\})$ does work (details omitted). □

Key Lemma 1: \mathbb{P}^{**} has Fr-limits

Key Lemma 1

$Q_{\sigma,k} := \{(\sigma', F) \in \mathbb{P}^{**} : \sigma' = \sigma, |F| \leq k\}$ is ultrafilter-limit-linked for $\sigma \in \text{FinStr}$ and $k < \omega$. In particular, \mathbb{P}^{**} is σ -Fr-linked.

Sketch of proof. Let D be a non-principal ultrafilter on $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ and $\bar{q} = \langle q_m := (\sigma, F_m = \{y_i^m : i < k\}) : m < \omega \rangle \in (Q_{\sigma,k})^\omega$. For $i < k$, define $y_i^\infty \in 2^\omega$ by for $n < \omega$ and $j \in 2$:

$$y_i^\infty(n) = j :\Leftrightarrow \{m < \omega : y_i^m(n) = j\} \in D.$$

$\lim^D \bar{q} := (\sigma, \{y_i^\infty : i < k\})$ does work (details omitted). \square

Remark

For \mathbb{P}^* , even if all y_i^m are not in $\mathbb{0}$, y_i^∞ might be in $\mathbb{0}$ and hence the same proof does not work.

Key Lemma 1: \mathbb{P}^{**} has Fr-limits

Key Lemma 1

$Q_{\sigma,k} := \{(\sigma', F) \in \mathbb{P}^{**} : \sigma' = \sigma, |F| \leq k\}$ is ultrafilter-limit-linked for $\sigma \in \text{FinStr}$ and $k < \omega$. In particular, \mathbb{P}^{**} is σ -Fr-linked.

Sketch of proof. Let D be a non-principal ultrafilter on $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ and $\bar{q} = \langle q_m := (\sigma, F_m = \{y_i^m : i < k\}) : m < \omega \rangle \in (Q_{\sigma,k})^\omega$. For $i < k$, define $y_i^\infty \in 2^\omega$ by for $n < \omega$ and $j \in 2$:

$$y_i^\infty(n) = j \Leftrightarrow \{m < \omega : y_i^m(n) = j\} \in D.$$

$\lim^D \bar{q} := (\sigma, \{y_i^\infty : i < k\})$ does work (details omitted). □

Remark

For \mathbb{P}^* , even if all y_i^m are not in $\mathbb{0}$, y_i^∞ might be in $\mathbb{0}$ and hence the same proof does not work. Also note that y_i^∞ is the topological D -limit of $\langle y_i^m : m < \omega \rangle$ in Cantor space 2^ω .

Key Lemma 1: \mathbb{P}^{**} has Fr-limits

Key Lemma 1

$Q_{\sigma,k} := \{(\sigma', F) \in \mathbb{P}^{**} : \sigma' = \sigma, |F| \leq k\}$ is ultrafilter-limit-linked for $\sigma \in \text{FinStr}$ and $k < \omega$. In particular, \mathbb{P}^{**} is σ -Fr-linked.

Sketch of proof. Let D be a non-principal ultrafilter on $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ and $\bar{q} = \langle q_m := (\sigma, F_m = \{y_i^m : i < k\}) : m < \omega \rangle \in (Q_{\sigma,k})^\omega$. For $i < k$, define $y_i^\infty \in 2^\omega$ by for $n < \omega$ and $j \in 2$:

$$y_i^\infty(n) = j \Leftrightarrow \{m < \omega : y_i^m(n) = j\} \in D.$$

$\lim^D \bar{q} := (\sigma, \{y_i^\infty : i < k\})$ does work (details omitted). □

Remark

For \mathbb{P}^* , even if all y_i^m are not in $\mathbb{0}$, y_i^∞ might be in $\mathbb{0}$ and hence the same proof does not work. Also note that y_i^∞ is the topological D -limit of $\langle y_i^m : m < \omega \rangle$ in Cantor space 2^ω . Every compact Hausdorff space has D -limits and 2^ω is compact, while $2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$ is not.

σ -Fr-iteration

We consider iterations of σ -Fr-linked forcings (with finite supports):

σ -Fr-iteration

We consider iterations of σ -Fr-linked forcings (with finite supports):

Definition

- A σ -Fr-iteration is a finite support iteration $\mathbb{P}_\gamma = \langle (\mathbb{P}_\xi, \dot{Q}_\xi) : \xi < \gamma \rangle$ with witnesses $\langle \dot{Q}_{\xi,n} : \xi < \gamma, n < \omega \rangle$ such that for all $\xi < \gamma$, $\langle \dot{Q}_{\xi,n} : n < \omega \rangle$ are \mathbb{P}_ξ -names satisfying:

$$\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_\xi} \text{ all } \dot{Q}_{\xi,n} \subseteq \dot{Q}_\xi \text{ are Fr-linked and } \bigcup_{n < \omega} \dot{Q}_{\xi,n} = \dot{Q}_\xi.$$

σ -Fr-iteration

We consider iterations of σ -Fr-linked forcings (with finite supports):

Definition

- A σ -Fr-iteration is a finite support iteration $\mathbb{P}_\gamma = \langle (\mathbb{P}_\xi, \dot{Q}_\xi) : \xi < \gamma \rangle$ with witnesses $\langle \dot{Q}_{\xi,n} : \xi < \gamma, n < \omega \rangle$ such that for all $\xi < \gamma$, $\langle \dot{Q}_{\xi,n} : n < \omega \rangle$ are \mathbb{P}_ξ -names satisfying:

$$\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_\xi} \text{ all } \dot{Q}_{\xi,n} \subseteq \dot{Q}_\xi \text{ are Fr-linked and } \bigcup_{n < \omega} \dot{Q}_{\xi,n} = \dot{Q}_\xi.$$

- $p \in \mathbb{P}_\gamma$ is determined if for each $\xi \in \text{dom}(p)$, there is $n_\xi < \omega$ such that $\Vdash_\xi p(\xi) \in \dot{Q}_{\xi,n_\xi}$.

σ -Fr-iteration

We consider iterations of σ -Fr-linked forcings (with finite supports):

Definition

- A σ -Fr-iteration is a finite support iteration $\mathbb{P}_\gamma = \langle (\mathbb{P}_\xi, \dot{Q}_\xi) : \xi < \gamma \rangle$ with witnesses $\langle \dot{Q}_{\xi,n} : \xi < \gamma, n < \omega \rangle$ such that for all $\xi < \gamma$, $\langle \dot{Q}_{\xi,n} : n < \omega \rangle$ are \mathbb{P}_ξ -names satisfying:

$$\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_\xi} \text{ all } \dot{Q}_{\xi,n} \subseteq \dot{Q}_\xi \text{ are Fr-linked and } \bigcup_{n < \omega} \dot{Q}_{\xi,n} = \dot{Q}_\xi.$$

- $p \in \mathbb{P}_\gamma$ is determined if for each $\xi \in \text{dom}(p)$, there is $n_\xi < \omega$ such that $\Vdash_\xi p(\xi) \in \dot{Q}_{\xi,n_\xi}$.

Lemma

There are densely many determined conditions.

Proof. Induct on ξ .



uniform Δ -system

For σ -Fr-iteration, we can take Fr-limits for “refined” sequences.

uniform Δ -system

For σ -Fr-iteration, we can take Fr-limits for “refined” sequences.

Definition

Let δ be a limit ordinal and $\bar{p} = \langle p_m : m < \delta \rangle \in (\mathbb{P}_\gamma)^\delta$. \bar{p} is a uniform Δ -system if:

uniform Δ -system

For σ -Fr-iteration, we can take Fr-limits for “refined” sequences.

Definition

Let δ be a limit ordinal and $\bar{p} = \langle p_m : m < \delta \rangle \in (\mathbb{P}_\gamma)^\delta$. \bar{p} is a uniform Δ -system if:

- 1 Each p_m is determined witnessed by $\langle n_\xi^m : \xi \in \text{dom}(p_m) \rangle$.

uniform Δ -system

For σ -Fr-iteration, we can take Fr-limits for “refined” sequences.

Definition

Let δ be a limit ordinal and $\bar{p} = \langle p_m : m < \delta \rangle \in (\mathbb{P}_\gamma)^\delta$. \bar{p} is a uniform Δ -system if:

- 1 Each p_m is determined witnessed by $\langle n_\xi^m : \xi \in \text{dom}(p_m) \rangle$.
- 2 $\{\text{dom}(p_m) : m < \delta\}$ forms a Δ -system with some root ∇ .

uniform Δ -system

For σ -Fr-iteration, we can take Fr-limits for “refined” sequences.

Definition

Let δ be a limit ordinal and $\bar{p} = \langle p_m : m < \delta \rangle \in (\mathbb{P}_\gamma)^\delta$. \bar{p} is a uniform Δ -system if:

- 1 Each p_m is determined witnessed by $\langle n_\xi^m : \xi \in \text{dom}(p_m) \rangle$.
- 2 $\{\text{dom}(p_m) : m < \delta\}$ forms a Δ -system with some root ∇ .
- 3 For $\xi \in \nabla$, all n_ξ^m are the same, i.e., all $p_m(\xi)$ are forced to be in a common Fr-linked component.

uniform Δ -system

For σ -Fr-iteration, we can take Fr-limits for “refined” sequences.

Definition

Let δ be a limit ordinal and $\bar{p} = \langle p_m : m < \delta \rangle \in (\mathbb{P}_\gamma)^\delta$. \bar{p} is a uniform Δ -system if:

- 1 Each p_m is determined witnessed by $\langle n_\xi^m : \xi \in \text{dom}(p_m) \rangle$.
- 2 $\{\text{dom}(p_m) : m < \delta\}$ forms a Δ -system with some root ∇ .
- 3 For $\xi \in \nabla$, all n_ξ^m are the same, i.e., all $p_m(\xi)$ are forced to be in a common Fr-linked component.
- 4 All $|\text{dom}(p_m)|$ are the same n' and $\text{dom}(p_m) = \{\xi_{n,m} : n < n'\}$ is the increasing enumeration.

uniform Δ -system

For σ -Fr-iteration, we can take Fr-limits for “refined” sequences.

Definition

Let δ be a limit ordinal and $\bar{p} = \langle p_m : m < \delta \rangle \in (\mathbb{P}_\gamma)^\delta$. \bar{p} is a uniform Δ -system if:

- ① Each p_m is determined witnessed by $\langle n_\xi^m : \xi \in \text{dom}(p_m) \rangle$.
- ② $\{\text{dom}(p_m) : m < \delta\}$ forms a Δ -system with some root ∇ .
- ③ For $\xi \in \nabla$, all n_ξ^m are the same, i.e., all $p_m(\xi)$ are forced to be in a common Fr-linked component.
- ④ All $|\text{dom}(p_m)|$ are the same n' and $\text{dom}(p_m) = \{\xi_{n,m} : n < n'\}$ is the increasing enumeration.
- ⑤ There is $r' \subseteq n'$ such that $n \in r' \Leftrightarrow \xi_{n,m} \in \nabla$ for $n < n'$.

uniform Δ -system

For σ -Fr-iteration, we can take Fr-limits for “refined” sequences.

Definition

Let δ be a limit ordinal and $\bar{p} = \langle p_m : m < \delta \rangle \in (\mathbb{P}_\gamma)^\delta$. \bar{p} is a uniform Δ -system if:

- ① Each p_m is determined witnessed by $\langle n_\xi^m : \xi \in \text{dom}(p_m) \rangle$.
- ② $\{\text{dom}(p_m) : m < \delta\}$ forms a Δ -system with some root ∇ .
- ③ For $\xi \in \nabla$, all n_ξ^m are the same, i.e., all $p_m(\xi)$ are forced to be in a common Fr-linked component.
- ④ All $|\text{dom}(p_m)|$ are the same n' and $\text{dom}(p_m) = \{\xi_{n,m} : n < n'\}$ is the increasing enumeration.
- ⑤ There is $r' \subseteq n'$ such that $n \in r' \Leftrightarrow \xi_{n,m} \in \nabla$ for $n < n'$.
- ⑥ For $n \in n' \setminus r'$, $\langle \xi_{n,m} : m < \delta \rangle$ is (strictly) increasing.

Δ -system Lemma also holds for this uniform version:

Δ -system Lemma also holds for this uniform version:

Lemma

Let δ be uncountable cardinal and $\{p_m : m < \delta\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_\gamma$ be determined conditions. Then, there exists $I \in [\delta]^\delta$ such that $\langle p_m : m \in I \rangle$ forms a uniform Δ -system.

Δ -system Lemma also holds for this uniform version:

Lemma

Let δ be uncountable cardinal and $\{p_m : m < \delta\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_\gamma$ be determined conditions. Then, there exists $I \in [\delta]^\delta$ such that $\langle p_m : m \in I \rangle$ forms a uniform Δ -system.

For a countable uniform Δ -system, we can take the Fr-limit:

Lemma

For any (countable) uniform Δ -system $\bar{p} = \langle p_m : m < \omega \rangle \in (\mathbb{P}_\gamma)^\omega$, there is $\lim \bar{p} \in \mathbb{P}$ forcing $\exists^\infty m < \omega \ p_m \in \dot{G}$.

($\lim \bar{p}$ is obtained by basically taking limits pointwisely on the root.)



To show Fr-limits keep \mathfrak{s}_G^* small, we need a characterization of it:

To show Fr-limits keep \mathfrak{s}_G^* small, we need a characterization of it:

Definition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Str}_\infty &:= \{\sigma \in \text{Str} : \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{0} \cup \mathbb{1}, \sigma * y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}\}, \\ \mathfrak{s}_G^{*,\infty} &:= \min\{|F| : F \subseteq 2^\omega, \neg \exists \sigma \in \text{Str}_\infty \forall y \in F \ y \triangleleft_* \sigma\}. \end{aligned}$$

To show Fr-limits keep \mathfrak{s}_G^* small, we need a characterization of it:

Definition

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Str}_\infty &:= \{\sigma \in \text{Str} : \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{0} \cup \mathbb{1}, \sigma * y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}\}, \\ \mathfrak{s}_G^{*,\infty} &:= \min\{|F| : F \subseteq 2^\omega, \neg \exists \sigma \in \text{Str}_\infty \forall y \in F \ y \triangleleft_* \sigma\}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that for $y \in \mathbb{0} \cup \mathbb{1}$, $y \triangleleft_* \sigma$ iff $\sigma * y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$.

To show Fr-limits keep \mathfrak{s}_G^* small, we need a characterization of it:

Definition

$$\text{Str}_\infty := \{\sigma \in \text{Str} : \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{0} \cup \mathbb{1}, \sigma * y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}\},$$
$$\mathfrak{s}_G^{*,\infty} := \min\{|F| : F \subseteq 2^\omega, \neg \exists \sigma \in \text{Str}_\infty \forall y \in F \ y \triangleleft_* \sigma\}.$$

Note that for $y \in \mathbb{0} \cup \mathbb{1}$, $y \triangleleft_* \sigma$ iff $\sigma * y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$.

Lemma

$$\mathfrak{s}_G^{*,\infty} = \mathfrak{s}_G^*.$$

To show Fr-limits keep \mathfrak{s}_G^* small, we need a characterization of it:

Definition

$$\text{Str}_\infty := \{\sigma \in \text{Str} : \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{0} \cup \mathbb{1}, \sigma * y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}\},$$

$$\mathfrak{s}_G^{*,\infty} := \min\{|F| : F \subseteq 2^\omega, \neg \exists \sigma \in \text{Str}_\infty \forall y \in F \ y \triangleleft_* \sigma\}.$$

Note that for $y \in \mathbb{0} \cup \mathbb{1}$, $y \triangleleft_* \sigma$ iff $\sigma * y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$.

Lemma

$$\mathfrak{s}_G^{*,\infty} = \mathfrak{s}_G^*.$$

Proof. $\mathfrak{s}_G^{*,\infty} \leq \mathfrak{s}_G^*$ is clear.

$$\mathfrak{s}_G^{*,\infty}$$

To show Fr-limits keep \mathfrak{s}_G^* small, we need a characterization of it:

Definition

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Str}_\infty &:= \{\sigma \in \text{Str} : \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{0} \cup \mathbb{1}, \sigma * y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}\}, \\ \mathfrak{s}_G^{*,\infty} &:= \min\{|F| : F \subseteq 2^\omega, \neg \exists \sigma \in \text{Str}_\infty \forall y \in F \ y \triangleleft_* \sigma\}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that for $y \in \mathbb{0} \cup \mathbb{1}$, $y \triangleleft_* \sigma$ iff $\sigma * y \in 2^\omega \setminus \mathbb{0}$.

Lemma

$$\mathfrak{s}_G^{*,\infty} = \mathfrak{s}_G^*.$$

Proof. $\mathfrak{s}_G^{*,\infty} \leq \mathfrak{s}_G^*$ is clear. To show $\mathfrak{s}_G^* \leq \mathfrak{s}_G^{*,\infty}$, let $F \subseteq 2^\omega$ of size $< \mathfrak{s}_G^*$. Since $F' := F \cup \mathbb{0} \cup \mathbb{1}$ has size $< \mathfrak{s}_G^*$, there is $\sigma \in \text{Str}$ winning all $y \in F'$. This σ has to be in Str_∞ . □

Key Lemma 2: Fr-limits keep s_G^* small

Key Lemma 2: Fr-limits keep \mathfrak{s}_G^* small

Key Lemma 2

Let γ be uncountable limit and $\mathbb{P}_\gamma = \langle (\mathbb{P}_\xi, \dot{Q}_\xi) : \xi < \gamma \rangle$ be a σ -Fr-iteration whose first ω_1 -many iterands are Cohen forcings $\mathbb{C} = (2^{<\omega}, \supseteq)$. Then, $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_\gamma$ forces $\mathfrak{s}_G^* = \mathfrak{s}_G^{*,\infty} = \omega_1$, witnessed by the first ω_1 -many Cohen reals $\{\dot{c}_\alpha \in 2^\omega : \alpha < \omega_1\}$.

Key Lemma 2: Fr-limits keep \mathfrak{s}_G^* small

Key Lemma 2

Let γ be uncountable limit and $\mathbb{P}_\gamma = \langle (\mathbb{P}_\xi, \dot{\mathbb{Q}}_\xi) : \xi < \gamma \rangle$ be a σ -Fr-iteration whose first ω_1 -many iterands are Cohen forcings $\mathbb{C} = (2^{<\omega}, \supseteq)$. Then, $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_\gamma$ forces $\mathfrak{s}_G^* = \mathfrak{s}_G^{*,\infty} = \omega_1$, witnessed by the first ω_1 -many Cohen reals $\{\dot{c}_\alpha \in 2^\omega : \alpha < \omega_1\}$.

Proof. If not, there are $p \in \mathbb{P}_\gamma$ and a \mathbb{P}_γ -name $\dot{\sigma} \in (\text{Str}_\infty)^{V^\mathbb{P}}$ such that for all $\alpha < \omega_1$, $p \Vdash \dot{c}_\alpha \triangleleft_* \dot{\sigma}$, particularly $p \Vdash \dot{c}_\alpha \sqsubset^r \dot{\sigma}$.

Key Lemma 2: Fr-limits keep \mathfrak{s}_G^* small

Key Lemma 2

Let γ be uncountable limit and $\mathbb{P}_\gamma = \langle (\mathbb{P}_\xi, \dot{\mathbb{Q}}_\xi) : \xi < \gamma \rangle$ be a σ -Fr-iteration whose first ω_1 -many iterands are Cohen forcings $\mathbb{C} = (2^{<\omega}, \supseteq)$. Then, $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_\gamma$ forces $\mathfrak{s}_G^* = \mathfrak{s}_G^{*,\infty} = \omega_1$, witnessed by the first ω_1 -many Cohen reals $\{\dot{c}_\alpha \in 2^\omega : \alpha < \omega_1\}$.

Proof. If not, there are $p \in \mathbb{P}_\gamma$ and a \mathbb{P}_γ -name $\dot{\sigma} \in (\text{Str}_\infty)^{V^\mathbb{P}}$ such that for all $\alpha < \omega_1$, $p \Vdash \dot{c}_\alpha \triangleleft_* \dot{\sigma}$, particularly $p \Vdash \dot{c}_\alpha \sqsubset^r \dot{\sigma}$. Since $\sqsubset^r = \bigcup_{j \in 2} \bigcup_{n < \omega} \sqsubset_{j,n}^r$, for $\alpha < \omega_1$ we obtain $p_\alpha \leq p$, $j_\alpha \in 2$ and $n_\alpha < \omega$ such that $p_\alpha \Vdash \dot{c}_\alpha \sqsubset_{j_\alpha, n_\alpha}^r \dot{\sigma}$.

Key Lemma 2: Fr-limits keep \mathfrak{s}_G^* small

Key Lemma 2

Let γ be uncountable limit and $\mathbb{P}_\gamma = \langle (\mathbb{P}_\xi, \dot{\mathbb{Q}}_\xi) : \xi < \gamma \rangle$ be a σ -Fr-iteration whose first ω_1 -many iterands are Cohen forcings $\mathbb{C} = (2^{<\omega}, \supseteq)$. Then, $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_\gamma$ forces $\mathfrak{s}_G^* = \mathfrak{s}_G^{*,\infty} = \omega_1$, witnessed by the first ω_1 -many Cohen reals $\{\dot{c}_\alpha \in 2^\omega : \alpha < \omega_1\}$.

Proof. If not, there are $p \in \mathbb{P}_\gamma$ and a \mathbb{P}_γ -name $\dot{\sigma} \in (\text{Str}_\infty)^{V^\mathbb{P}}$ such that for all $\alpha < \omega_1$, $p \Vdash \dot{c}_\alpha \triangleleft_* \dot{\sigma}$, particularly $p \Vdash \dot{c}_\alpha \sqsubset^r \dot{\sigma}$. Since $\sqsubset^r = \bigcup_{j \in 2} \bigcup_{n < \omega} \sqsubset_{j,n}^r$, for $\alpha < \omega_1$ we obtain $p_\alpha \leq p$, $j_\alpha \in 2$ and $n_\alpha < \omega$ such that $p_\alpha \Vdash \dot{c}_\alpha \sqsubset_{j_\alpha, n_\alpha}^r \dot{\sigma}$. By extending and thinning, we may assume there is $I \in [\omega_1]^{\omega_1}$ such that for $\alpha \in I$,

Key Lemma 2: Fr-limits keep \mathfrak{s}_G^* small

Key Lemma 2

Let γ be uncountable limit and $\mathbb{P}_\gamma = \langle (\mathbb{P}_\xi, \dot{\mathbb{Q}}_\xi) : \xi < \gamma \rangle$ be a σ -Fr-iteration whose first ω_1 -many iterands are Cohen forcings $\mathbb{C} = (2^{<\omega}, \supseteq)$. Then, $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_\gamma$ forces $\mathfrak{s}_G^* = \mathfrak{s}_G^{*,\infty} = \omega_1$, witnessed by the first ω_1 -many Cohen reals $\{\dot{c}_\alpha \in 2^\omega : \alpha < \omega_1\}$.

Proof. If not, there are $p \in \mathbb{P}_\gamma$ and a \mathbb{P}_γ -name $\dot{\sigma} \in (\text{Str}_\infty)^{V^\mathbb{P}}$ such that for all $\alpha < \omega_1$, $p \Vdash \dot{c}_\alpha \triangleleft_* \dot{\sigma}$, particularly $p \Vdash \dot{c}_\alpha \sqsubset^r \dot{\sigma}$. Since $\sqsubset^r = \bigcup_{j \in 2} \bigcup_{n < \omega} \sqsubset_{j,n}^r$, for $\alpha < \omega_1$ we obtain $p_\alpha \leq p$, $j_\alpha \in 2$ and $n_\alpha < \omega$ such that $p_\alpha \Vdash \dot{c}_\alpha \sqsubset_{j_\alpha, n_\alpha}^r \dot{\sigma}$. By extending and thinning, we may assume there is $I \in [\omega_1]^{\omega_1}$ such that for $\alpha \in I$,

- ① $\alpha \in \text{dom}(p_\alpha)$.

Key Lemma 2: Fr-limits keep \mathfrak{s}_G^* small

Key Lemma 2

Let γ be uncountable limit and $\mathbb{P}_\gamma = \langle (\mathbb{P}_\xi, \dot{\mathbb{Q}}_\xi) : \xi < \gamma \rangle$ be a σ -Fr-iteration whose first ω_1 -many iterands are Cohen forcings $\mathbb{C} = (2^{<\omega}, \supseteq)$. Then, $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_\gamma$ forces $\mathfrak{s}_G^* = \mathfrak{s}_G^{*,\infty} = \omega_1$, witnessed by the first ω_1 -many Cohen reals $\{\dot{c}_\alpha \in 2^\omega : \alpha < \omega_1\}$.

Proof. If not, there are $p \in \mathbb{P}_\gamma$ and a \mathbb{P}_γ -name $\dot{\sigma} \in (\text{Str}_\infty)^{V^\mathbb{P}}$ such that for all $\alpha < \omega_1$, $p \Vdash \dot{c}_\alpha \triangleleft_* \dot{\sigma}$, particularly $p \Vdash \dot{c}_\alpha \sqsubset^r \dot{\sigma}$. Since $\sqsubset^r = \bigcup_{j \in 2} \bigcup_{n < \omega} \sqsubset_{j,n}^r$, for $\alpha < \omega_1$ we obtain $p_\alpha \leq p$, $j_\alpha \in 2$ and $n_\alpha < \omega$ such that $p_\alpha \Vdash \dot{c}_\alpha \sqsubset_{j_\alpha, n_\alpha}^r \dot{\sigma}$. By extending and thinning, we may assume there is $I \in [\omega_1]^{\omega_1}$ such that for $\alpha \in I$,

- ① $\alpha \in \text{dom}(p_\alpha)$.
- ② $\{p_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ forms a uniform Δ -system with root ∇ .

Key Lemma 2: Fr-limits keep \mathfrak{s}_G^* small

Key Lemma 2

Let γ be uncountable limit and $\mathbb{P}_\gamma = \langle (\mathbb{P}_\xi, \dot{Q}_\xi) : \xi < \gamma \rangle$ be a σ -Fr-iteration whose first ω_1 -many iterands are Cohen forcings $\mathbb{C} = (2^{<\omega}, \supseteq)$. Then, $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_\gamma$ forces $\mathfrak{s}_G^* = \mathfrak{s}_G^{*,\infty} = \omega_1$, witnessed by the first ω_1 -many Cohen reals $\{\dot{c}_\alpha \in 2^\omega : \alpha < \omega_1\}$.

Proof. If not, there are $p \in \mathbb{P}_\gamma$ and a \mathbb{P}_γ -name $\dot{\sigma} \in (\text{Str}_\infty)^{V^\mathbb{P}}$ such that for all $\alpha < \omega_1$, $p \Vdash \dot{c}_\alpha \triangleleft_* \dot{\sigma}$, particularly $p \Vdash \dot{c}_\alpha \sqsubset^r \dot{\sigma}$. Since $\sqsubset^r = \bigcup_{j \in 2} \bigcup_{n < \omega} \sqsubset_{j,n}^r$, for $\alpha < \omega_1$ we obtain $p_\alpha \leq p$, $j_\alpha \in 2$ and $n_\alpha < \omega$ such that $p_\alpha \Vdash \dot{c}_\alpha \sqsubset_{j_\alpha, n_\alpha}^r \dot{\sigma}$. By extending and thinning, we may assume there is $I \in [\omega_1]^{\omega_1}$ such that for $\alpha \in I$,

- ① $\alpha \in \text{dom}(p_\alpha)$.
- ② $\{p_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ forms a uniform Δ -system with root ∇ .
- ③ All j_α are equal to j and all n_α are equal to n^* .

Key Lemma 2: Fr-limits keep \mathfrak{s}_G^* small

Key Lemma 2

Let γ be uncountable limit and $\mathbb{P}_\gamma = \langle (\mathbb{P}_\xi, \dot{Q}_\xi) : \xi < \gamma \rangle$ be a σ -Fr-iteration whose first ω_1 -many iterands are Cohen forcings $\mathbb{C} = (2^{<\omega}, \supseteq)$. Then, $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_\gamma$ forces $\mathfrak{s}_G^* = \mathfrak{s}_G^{*,\infty} = \omega_1$, witnessed by the first ω_1 -many Cohen reals $\{\dot{c}_\alpha \in 2^\omega : \alpha < \omega_1\}$.

Proof. If not, there are $p \in \mathbb{P}_\gamma$ and a \mathbb{P}_γ -name $\dot{\sigma} \in (\text{Str}_\infty)^{V^\mathbb{P}}$ such that for all $\alpha < \omega_1$, $p \Vdash \dot{c}_\alpha \triangleleft_* \dot{\sigma}$, particularly $p \Vdash \dot{c}_\alpha \sqsubset^r \dot{\sigma}$. Since $\sqsubset^r = \bigcup_{j \in 2} \bigcup_{n < \omega} \sqsubset_{j,n}^r$, for $\alpha < \omega_1$ we obtain $p_\alpha \leq p$, $j_\alpha \in 2$ and $n_\alpha < \omega$ such that $p_\alpha \Vdash \dot{c}_\alpha \sqsubset_{j_\alpha, n_\alpha}^r \dot{\sigma}$. By extending and thinning, we may assume there is $I \in [\omega_1]^{\omega_1}$ such that for $\alpha \in I$,

- ① $\alpha \in \text{dom}(p_\alpha)$.
- ② $\{p_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ forms a uniform Δ -system with root ∇ .
- ③ All j_α are equal to j and all n_α are equal to n^* .
- ④ All $p_\alpha(\alpha)$ are the same Cohen condition $s \in 2^{<\omega}$.

Key Lemma 2: Fr-limits keep \mathfrak{s}_G^* small

Key Lemma 2

Let γ be uncountable limit and $\mathbb{P}_\gamma = \langle (\mathbb{P}_\xi, \dot{Q}_\xi) : \xi < \gamma \rangle$ be a σ -Fr-iteration whose first ω_1 -many iterands are Cohen forcings $\mathbb{C} = (2^{<\omega}, \supseteq)$. Then, $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_\gamma$ forces $\mathfrak{s}_G^* = \mathfrak{s}_G^{*,\infty} = \omega_1$, witnessed by the first ω_1 -many Cohen reals $\{\dot{c}_\alpha \in 2^\omega : \alpha < \omega_1\}$.

Proof. If not, there are $p \in \mathbb{P}_\gamma$ and a \mathbb{P}_γ -name $\dot{\sigma} \in (\text{Str}_\infty)^{V^\mathbb{P}}$ such that for all $\alpha < \omega_1$, $p \Vdash \dot{c}_\alpha \triangleleft_* \dot{\sigma}$, particularly $p \Vdash \dot{c}_\alpha \sqsubset^r \dot{\sigma}$. Since $\sqsubset^r = \bigcup_{j \in 2} \bigcup_{n < \omega} \sqsubset_{j,n}^r$, for $\alpha < \omega_1$ we obtain $p_\alpha \leq p$, $j_\alpha \in 2$ and $n_\alpha < \omega$ such that $p_\alpha \Vdash \dot{c}_\alpha \sqsubset_{j_\alpha, n_\alpha}^r \dot{\sigma}$. By extending and thinning, we may assume there is $I \in [\omega_1]^{\omega_1}$ such that for $\alpha \in I$,

- ① $\alpha \in \text{dom}(p_\alpha)$.
- ② $\{p_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ forms a uniform Δ -system with root ∇ .
- ③ All j_α are equal to j and all n_α are equal to n^* .
- ④ All $p_\alpha(\alpha)$ are the same Cohen condition $s \in 2^{<\omega}$.
- ⑤ $|s| = n^*$. (By increasing n^* or extending s .)

Key Lemma 2: Fr-limits keep \mathfrak{s}_G^* small

Key Lemma 2

Let γ be uncountable limit and $\mathbb{P}_\gamma = \langle (\mathbb{P}_\xi, \dot{Q}_\xi) : \xi < \gamma \rangle$ be a σ -Fr-iteration whose first ω_1 -many iterands are Cohen forcings $\mathbb{C} = (2^{<\omega}, \supseteq)$. Then, $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_\gamma$ forces $\mathfrak{s}_G^* = \mathfrak{s}_G^{*,\infty} = \omega_1$, witnessed by the first ω_1 -many Cohen reals $\{\dot{c}_\alpha \in 2^\omega : \alpha < \omega_1\}$.

Proof. If not, there are $p \in \mathbb{P}_\gamma$ and a \mathbb{P}_γ -name $\dot{\sigma} \in (\text{Str}_\infty)^{V^\mathbb{P}}$ such that for all $\alpha < \omega_1$, $p \Vdash \dot{c}_\alpha \triangleleft_* \dot{\sigma}$, particularly $p \Vdash \dot{c}_\alpha \sqsubset^r \dot{\sigma}$. Since $\sqsubset^r = \bigcup_{j \in 2} \bigcup_{n < \omega} \sqsubset_{j,n}^r$, for $\alpha < \omega_1$ we obtain $p_\alpha \leq p$, $j_\alpha \in 2$ and $n_\alpha < \omega$ such that $p_\alpha \Vdash \dot{c}_\alpha \sqsubset_{j_\alpha, n_\alpha}^r \dot{\sigma}$. By extending and thinning, we may assume there is $I \in [\omega_1]^{\omega_1}$ such that for $\alpha \in I$,

- ① $\alpha \in \text{dom}(p_\alpha)$.
- ② $\{p_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ forms a uniform Δ -system with root ∇ .
- ③ All j_α are equal to j and all n_α are equal to n^* .
- ④ All $p_\alpha(\alpha)$ are the same Cohen condition $s \in 2^{<\omega}$.
- ⑤ $|s| = n^*$. (By increasing n^* or extending s .)

In particular, we have that:

$$\text{For each } \alpha \in I, p_\alpha \text{ forces } \dot{c}_\alpha \restriction n^* = s \text{ and } \dot{c}_\alpha \sqsubset_{j, n^*}^r \dot{\sigma}. \quad (3.1)$$

In particular, we have that:

$$\text{For each } \alpha \in I, p_\alpha \text{ forces } \dot{c}_\alpha \restriction n^* = s \text{ and } \dot{c}_\alpha \sqsubset_{j, n^*}^r \dot{\sigma}. \quad (3.1)$$

Pick some countable $\{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots\} \in [I \setminus \nabla]^\omega$.

In particular, we have that:

$$\text{For each } \alpha \in I, p_\alpha \text{ forces } \dot{c}_\alpha \restriction n^* = s \text{ and } \dot{c}_\alpha \sqsubset_{j, n^*}^r \dot{\sigma}. \quad (3.1)$$

Pick some countable $\{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots\} \in [I \setminus \nabla]^\omega$. For $m < \omega$,
 Define $q_m \leq p_{\alpha_m}$ by extending the α_m -th position to
 $q_m(\alpha_m) := s \frown \langle j \dots j \rangle^m \in 2^{n^*+m}$, where $\langle j \dots j \rangle^m$ denotes the
 sequence of length m whose values are all j .

In particular, we have that:

$$\text{For each } \alpha \in I, p_\alpha \text{ forces } \dot{c}_\alpha \restriction n^* = s \text{ and } \dot{c}_\alpha \sqsubset_{j, n^*}^r \dot{\sigma}. \quad (3.1)$$

Pick some countable $\{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots\} \in [I \setminus \nabla]^\omega$. For $m < \omega$, Define $q_m \leq p_{\alpha_m}$ by extending the α_m -th position to $q_m(\alpha_m) := s \frown \langle j \dots j \rangle^m \in 2^{n^*+m}$, where $\langle j \dots j \rangle^m$ denotes the sequence of length m whose values are all j . By (3.1), for all $m < \omega$ we have:

$$q_m \Vdash \text{ for all } n < m, \dot{\sigma}(s \frown \langle j \dots j \rangle^n) = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

In particular, we have that:

$$\text{For each } \alpha \in I, p_\alpha \text{ forces } \dot{c}_\alpha \restriction n^* = s \text{ and } \dot{c}_\alpha \sqsubset_{j, n^*}^r \dot{\sigma}. \quad (3.1)$$

Pick some countable $\{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots\} \in [I \setminus \nabla]^\omega$. For $m < \omega$, Define $q_m \leq p_{\alpha_m}$ by extending the α_m -th position to $q_m(\alpha_m) := s \frown \langle j \dots j \rangle^m \in 2^{n^*+m}$, where $\langle j \dots j \rangle^m$ denotes the sequence of length m whose values are all j . By (3.1), for all $m < \omega$ we have:

$$q_m \Vdash \text{for all } n < m, \dot{\sigma}(s \frown \langle j \dots j \rangle^n) = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Since $\alpha_m \notin \nabla$, $\bar{q} := \langle q_m : m < \omega \rangle$ also forms a uniform Δ -system (with root ∇) and hence we can take the limit $q^\infty := \lim \bar{q}$.

In particular, we have that:

$$\text{For each } \alpha \in I, p_\alpha \text{ forces } \dot{c}_\alpha \restriction n^* = s \text{ and } \dot{c}_\alpha \sqsubset_{j, n^*}^r \dot{\sigma}. \quad (3.1)$$

Pick some countable $\{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots\} \in [I \setminus \nabla]^\omega$. For $m < \omega$, Define $q_m \leq p_{\alpha_m}$ by extending the α_m -th position to $q_m(\alpha_m) := s \frown \langle j \dots j \rangle^m \in 2^{n^*+m}$, where $\langle j \dots j \rangle^m$ denotes the sequence of length m whose values are all j . By (3.1), for all $m < \omega$ we have:

$$q_m \Vdash \text{for all } n < m, \dot{\sigma}(s \frown \langle j \dots j \rangle^n) = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Since $\alpha_m \notin \nabla$, $\bar{q} := \langle q_m : m < \omega \rangle$ also forms a uniform Δ -system (with root ∇) and hence we can take the limit $q^\infty := \lim \bar{q}$. Let $y := s \frown jj \dots \in \mathbb{0} \cup \mathbb{1}$.

In particular, we have that:

$$\text{For each } \alpha \in I, p_\alpha \text{ forces } \dot{c}_\alpha \restriction n^* = s \text{ and } \dot{c}_\alpha \sqsubset_{j,n^*}^r \dot{\sigma}. \quad (3.1)$$

Pick some countable $\{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots\} \in [I \setminus \nabla]^\omega$. For $m < \omega$, Define $q_m \leq p_{\alpha_m}$ by extending the α_m -th position to $q_m(\alpha_m) := s \frown \langle j \dots j \rangle^m \in 2^{n^*+m}$, where $\langle j \dots j \rangle^m$ denotes the sequence of length m whose values are all j . By (3.1), for all $m < \omega$ we have:

$$q_m \Vdash \text{for all } n < m, \dot{\sigma}(s \frown \langle j \dots j \rangle^n) = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Since $\alpha_m \notin \nabla$, $\bar{q} := \langle q_m : m < \omega \rangle$ also forms a uniform Δ -system (with root ∇) and hence we can take the limit $q^\infty := \lim \bar{q}$. Let $y := s \frown jj \dots \in \mathbb{0} \cup \mathbb{1}$. Since $q^\infty \Vdash \exists^\infty m < \omega \ q_m \in \dot{G}$ and by (3.2), we have:

In particular, we have that:

$$\text{For each } \alpha \in I, p_\alpha \text{ forces } \dot{c}_\alpha \restriction n^* = s \text{ and } \dot{c}_\alpha \sqsubset_{j, n^*}^r \dot{\sigma}. \quad (3.1)$$

Pick some countable $\{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots\} \in [I \setminus \nabla]^\omega$. For $m < \omega$, Define $q_m \leq p_{\alpha_m}$ by extending the α_m -th position to $q_m(\alpha_m) := s \frown \langle j \dots j \rangle^m \in 2^{n^*+m}$, where $\langle j \dots j \rangle^m$ denotes the sequence of length m whose values are all j . By (3.1), for all $m < \omega$ we have:

$$q_m \Vdash \text{for all } n < m, \dot{\sigma}(s \frown \langle j \dots j \rangle^n) = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Since $\alpha_m \notin \nabla$, $\bar{q} := \langle q_m : m < \omega \rangle$ also forms a uniform Δ -system (with root ∇) and hence we can take the limit $q^\infty := \lim \bar{q}$. Let $y := s \frown jj \dots \in \mathbb{0} \cup \mathbb{1}$. Since $q^\infty \Vdash \exists^\infty m < \omega \ q_m \in \dot{G}$ and by (3.2), we have:

$$q^\infty \Vdash \dot{\sigma} * y \in \mathbb{0}, \quad (3.3)$$

In particular, we have that:

$$\text{For each } \alpha \in I, p_\alpha \text{ forces } \dot{c}_\alpha \restriction n^* = s \text{ and } \dot{c}_\alpha \sqsubset_{j,n^*}^r \dot{\sigma}. \quad (3.1)$$

Pick some countable $\{\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots\} \in [I \setminus \nabla]^\omega$. For $m < \omega$, Define $q_m \leq p_{\alpha_m}$ by extending the α_m -th position to $q_m(\alpha_m) := s \frown \langle j \dots j \rangle^m \in 2^{n^*+m}$, where $\langle j \dots j \rangle^m$ denotes the sequence of length m whose values are all j . By (3.1), for all $m < \omega$ we have:

$$q_m \Vdash \text{for all } n < m, \dot{\sigma}(s \frown \langle j \dots j \rangle^n) = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Since $\alpha_m \notin \nabla$, $\bar{q} := \langle q_m : m < \omega \rangle$ also forms a uniform Δ -system (with root ∇) and hence we can take the limit $q^\infty := \lim \bar{q}$. Let $y := s \frown jj \dots \in \mathbb{0} \cup \mathbb{1}$. Since $q^\infty \Vdash \exists^\infty m < \omega \ q_m \in \dot{G}$ and by (3.2), we have:

$$q^\infty \Vdash \dot{\sigma} * y \in \mathbb{0}, \quad (3.3)$$

which contradicts $\dot{\sigma} \in \text{Str}_\infty$.

$\text{Con}(\mathfrak{s}_G^* < \mathfrak{s}_G^{**})$

Corollary

Let $\lambda > \omega_1$ be uncountable regular with $\lambda^{\aleph_0} = \lambda$ and $\mathbb{P} = \langle (\mathbb{P}_\xi, \dot{Q}_\xi) : \xi < \lambda \rangle$ be a finite support iteration whose first ω_1 -many iterands are Cohen forcings and the remaining iterands are \mathbb{P}^{**} . Then, $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \omega_1 = \mathfrak{s}_G^* < \mathfrak{s}_G^{**} = 2^{\aleph_0} = \lambda$.

In fact, given uncountable regular $\kappa < \lambda$, $\kappa = \mathfrak{s}_G^* < \mathfrak{s}_G^{**} = \lambda$ is consistent.

- 1 Overview
- 2 Details
- 3 Fr-limit
- 4 Conclusion and Questions

Conclusion and Questions

Conclusion:

Conclusion and Questions

Conclusion:

- We introduced a new number s_G^{**} by slightly changing the definition of s_G^* .

Conclusion and Questions

Conclusion:

- We introduced a new number s_G^{**} by slightly changing the definition of s_G^* .
- We showed that Fr-limits can control s_G^* and as an application proved $s_G^* < s_G^{**}$ consistently holds.

Conclusion and Questions

Conclusion:

- We introduced a new number s_G^{**} by slightly changing the definition of s_G^* .
- We showed that Fr-limits can control s_G^* and as an application proved $s_G^* < s_G^{**}$ consistently holds.

Detailed Questions

- 1 (Repeated) $s_G^{**} \leq \mathfrak{d}$?

Conclusion and Questions

Conclusion:

- We introduced a new number s_G^{**} by slightly changing the definition of s_G^* .
- We showed that Fr-limits can control s_G^* and as an application proved $s_G^* < s_G^{**}$ consistently holds.

Detailed Questions

- ① (Repeated) $s_G^{**} \leq \vartheta$?
- ② By modifying the proof of Key Lemma 2 can we show the fact “Fr-limits keeps s_G^* small” inductively?

Conclusion and Questions

Conclusion:

- We introduced a new number s_G^{**} by slightly changing the definition of s_G^* .
- We showed that Fr-limits can control s_G^* and as an application proved $s_G^* < s_G^{**}$ consistently holds.

Detailed Questions

- ① (Repeated) $s_G^{**} \leq \vartheta$?
- ② By modifying the proof of Key Lemma 2 can we show the fact “Fr-limits keeps s_G^* small” inductively?

Conclusion and Questions

Conclusion:

- We introduced a new number \mathfrak{s}_G^{**} by slightly changing the definition of \mathfrak{s}_G^* .
- We showed that Fr-limits can control \mathfrak{s}_G^* and as an application proved $\mathfrak{s}_G^* < \mathfrak{s}_G^{**}$ consistently holds.

Detailed Questions

- ① (Repeated) $\mathfrak{s}_G^{**} \leq \mathfrak{d}$?
- ② By modifying the proof of Key Lemma 2 can we show the fact “Fr-limits keeps \mathfrak{s}_G^* small” inductively?

Rough Question

Can the whole argument be applied to other cardinal invariants?

References I

- [BCM21] Jörg Brendle, Miguel A. Cardona, and Diego A. Mejía. Filter-linkedness and its effect on preservation of cardinal characteristics. *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, 172(1):Paper No. 102856, 30, 2021.
- [CGH23] Jorge Antonio Cruz Chapital, Tatsuya Goto and Yusuke Hayashi. Game-theoretic variants of cardinal invariants. Preprint, arXiv:2308.12136v2, 2022.
- [Mej19] Diego A. Mejía Matrix iterations with vertical support restrictions. In *InProceedings of the 14th and 15th Asian Logic Conferences*, pages 213 – 248. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2019.