

Partial Stationary Reflection

Principles

Toshimichi Usuba (薄葉 季路)
Nagoya University

RIMS Set Theory Workshop 2012
Forcing extensions and large cardinals
RIMS Kyoto Univ. December 5, 2012

Stationary reflection

κ : infinite cardinal $> \omega_1$.

Definition 1.

$\text{WRP}([\kappa]^\omega) \equiv$ Every stationary set $S \subseteq [\kappa]^\omega$ reflects to some $X \subseteq \kappa$ with $|X| = \omega_1 \subseteq X$, that is, $S \cap [X]^\omega$ is stationary in $[X]^\omega$.

Fact 2 (Shelah, Velickovic). *The following are equiconsistent:*

- ① *There is a weakly compact cardinal.*
- ② $\text{WRP}([\omega_2]^\omega)$.

Partial stationary reflection

Definition 3. Let $S \subseteq [\kappa]^\omega$ be stationary.

$\text{WRP}(S) \equiv$ Every stationary subset T of S reflects to some $X \subseteq \kappa$ with $|X| = \omega_1 \subseteq X$.

Fact 4 (Sakai). *The statement “ $\text{WRP}(S)$ holds for some stationary $S \subseteq [\omega_2]^\omega$ ” is equiconsistent with ZFC.*

Theorem 5. *Suppose CH. Fix a stationary $\mathcal{X} \subseteq [\kappa]^{\omega_1}$.*

Then there is a poset \mathbb{P} such that:

- ① *\mathbb{P} is σ -closed and has the ω_2 -c.c. (so preserves the stationarity of \mathcal{X}).*
- ② *In $V^{\mathbb{P}}$, there is a stationary $S \subseteq [\kappa]^\omega$ such that every stationary subset $T \subseteq S$ reflects to some $X \in \mathcal{X}$. Hence $\text{WRP}(S)$ holds.*

\Rightarrow $\text{WRP}(S)$ for some $S \subseteq [\kappa]^\omega$ is not a large cardinal property even if $\kappa > \omega_2$.

Definition 6. κ : regular

$\text{RP}([\kappa]^\omega) \equiv$ Every stationary $S \subseteq [\kappa]^\omega$ reflects to some $X \subseteq \kappa$ with $|X| = \omega_1 \subseteq X$ and $\text{cf}(\sup(X)) = \omega_1$.

Fact 7 (Krueger). *Relative to a certain large cardinal assumption, it is consistent that $\text{WRP}([\omega_2]^\omega)$ but $\neg \text{RP}([\omega_2]^\omega)$.*

It is unknown the consistency of $\text{WRP}([\kappa]^\omega) \wedge \neg \text{RP}([\kappa]^\omega)$ for $\kappa > \omega_2$.

But $\text{WRP}(S) \wedge \neg \text{RP}(S)$ for some $S \subseteq [\kappa]^\omega$ is consistent.

Proof of Proposition

First, define the poset \mathbb{P} as follows: \mathbb{P} is the set of all countable set p of $[\kappa]^\omega$ such that $\bigcup(p) \in p$. $p \leq q \iff p \supseteq q$ and for every $x \in p$, $x \subseteq \bigcup q \Rightarrow x \in q$.

It is easy to check that \mathbb{P} is σ -closed and satisfies the ω_2 -c.c. If G is (V, \mathbb{P}) -generic, then $S = \bigcup G$ is stationary in $[\kappa]^\omega$.

By the genericity of S , we can construct an iteration of club shootings \mathbb{Q} which is σ -Baire, has the ω_2 -c.c., and destroys all stationary subsets of S which do not reflect to every $X \in \mathcal{X}$. Moreover, in V , one can find a σ -closed dense subset of $\mathbb{P} * \mathbb{Q}$.

Remark 8 (Shelah, Todorcevic).

κ : *regular* \wedge $\text{WRP}([\kappa]^\omega) \Rightarrow \kappa^\omega = \kappa$.

Proposition 9. *Suppose $\text{WRP}(S)$ for some $S \subseteq [\kappa]^\omega$. Then every c.c.c. poset preserves $\text{WRP}(S)$. In particular, “ $\text{WRP}(S) \wedge 2^\omega$ is arbitrary large” is consistent.*

Proof

Pick $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and $p \Vdash \dot{T} \subseteq S$ is stationary". Let

$$T = \{x \in S : \exists q \leq p (q \Vdash x \in \dot{T})\}.$$

T is stationary, so reflects to some $X \in [\kappa]^{\omega_1}$.

Fix a bijection $\pi : \omega_1 \rightarrow X$ and let

$$E = \{\alpha < \omega_1 : \pi \text{ ``}\alpha \in T \cap [X]^\omega\text{''}\}.$$

E is stationary in ω_1 . Then, since \mathbb{P} has the c.c.c., one can find $r \leq p$ such that $r \Vdash \text{``}\{\alpha \in E : \pi \text{ ``}\alpha \in \dot{T}\text{'' is stationary}\text{''}$, hence $q \Vdash \text{``}\dot{T} \cap [X]^\omega \text{ is stationary''}$.

Simultaneous stationary reflection

Definition 10.

$\text{WRP}^2([\kappa]^\omega) \equiv$ Every stationary sets $S_0, S_1 \subseteq [\kappa]^\omega$ reflect to some $X \subseteq \kappa$ with $|X| = \omega_1 \subseteq X$ simultaneously, that is, both $S_0 \cap [X]^\omega$ and $S_1 \cap [X]^\omega$ are stationary in $[X]^\omega$.

Remark 11. κ : weakly compact.

Then $\text{WRP}^2([\omega_2]^\omega)$ holds in $V^{\text{col}(\omega_1, < \kappa)}$. Hence $\text{WRP}^2([\omega_2]^\omega)$ is still equiconsistent with the existence of a weakly compact cardinal.

Simultaneous partial stationary reflection

Definition 12. Let $S_0, S_1 \subseteq [\kappa]^\omega$ be stationary.

$\text{WRP}(S_0, S_1) \equiv$ Every stationary subsets $T_0 \subseteq S_0, T_1 \subseteq S_1$ reflect to some $X \subseteq \kappa$ with $|X| = \omega_1 \subseteq X$ simultaneously.

So $\text{WRP}([\kappa]^\omega, [\kappa]^\omega) \equiv \text{WRP}^2([\kappa]^\omega)$.

Definition 13. κ : regular.

$\square(\kappa) \equiv$ there is $\langle C_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ such that:

- ① $C_\alpha \subseteq \alpha$ is a club in α .
- ② For every $\beta \in \lim(C_\alpha)$, $C_\beta = C_\alpha \cap \beta$.
- ③ There is no club C in κ such that $C \cap \alpha = C_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \lim(C)$.

Fact 14 (Jensen). *The following are equiconsistent:*

- ① *There is a weakly compact cardinal.*
- ② $\square(\omega_2)$ *fails.*

Proposition 15. λ : regular with $\omega_2 \leq \lambda \leq \kappa$.

If $\text{WRP}(S_0, S_1)$ holds for some stationary $S_0, S_1 \subseteq [\kappa]^\omega$, then $\square(\lambda)$ fails.

Corollary 16. The following are equiconsistent:

- ① There is a weakly compact cardinal.
- ② $\text{WRP}([\omega_2]^\omega)$ holds.
- ③ $\text{WRP}^2([\omega_2]^\omega)$ holds.
- ④ $\text{WRP}(S_0, S_1)$ holds for some stationary $S_0, S_1 \subseteq [\omega_2]^\omega$.

Lemma 17. λ : regular with $\omega_2 \leq \lambda \leq \kappa$.

$S_0, S_1 \subseteq [\kappa]^\omega$: stationary.

Then there are stationary $T_0 \subseteq S_0$ and $T_1 \subseteq S_1$ such that if T_0 and T_1 reflect to $X \in [\kappa]^{\omega_1}$, then $\text{cf}(\sup(X \cap \lambda)) = \omega_1$.

Proof of Lemma in the case $\kappa = \lambda$

Let S_0, S_1 be stationary and suppose to the contrary that for every stationary $T_0 \subseteq S_0$ and $T_1 \subseteq S_1$, there is $X \subseteq \kappa$ such that

- ① $|X| = \omega_1 \subseteq X$.
- ② $\sup(X \cap \lambda) \notin X$ and $\text{cf}(\sup(X \cap \lambda)) = \omega$.
- ③ both $T_0 \cap [X]^\omega$ and $T_1 \cap [X]^\omega$ are stationary in $[X]^\omega$.

For each $\alpha < \kappa$ with $\text{cf}(\alpha) = \omega$, fix $\langle \gamma_i^\alpha : i < \omega \rangle$ an increasing sequence with limit α .

For $n < 2$, $i < \omega$, and $\delta < \kappa$, let

$$S_{n,i,\delta} = \{x \in S_n : \delta = \min(x \setminus \gamma_i^{\sup(x)})\}.$$

Then for every $n < 2$ and $i < \omega$ there is $\delta < \kappa$ such that $S_{n,i,\delta}$ is stationary.

Claim 18. *For every $i < \omega$ and $\delta_0, \delta_1 < \kappa$, if S_{0,i,δ_0} and S_{1,i,δ_1} are stationary then $\delta_0 = \delta_1$.*

This means that if $S_{0,i,\delta}$ and $S_{0,i,\delta'}$ are stationary, then $\delta = \delta'$. This is impossible.

Proof of Proposition in the case $\lambda = \kappa$

If $\text{WRP}(S_0, S_1)$ holds for some stationary $S_0, S_1 \subseteq [\kappa]^\omega$, then $\square(\kappa)$ fails.

Let $\langle c_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ be a coherent sequence.

For $\alpha < \kappa$ and $n < 2$, let

$$S_{n,\alpha} = \{x \in S_n : C_{\sup(x)} \cap \sup(x \cap \alpha) = C_\alpha \cap \sup(x \cap \alpha)\}.$$

For $n < 2$,

$$A_n = \{\alpha < \kappa : S_{n,\alpha} \text{ is stationary}\}.$$

Claim 19. A_n is unbounded in κ .

Claim 20. *For each $\alpha \in A_0$ and $\beta \in A_1$, if $\alpha \leq \beta$ then $C_\alpha = C_\beta \cap \alpha$, and $\beta \leq \alpha$ then $C_\beta = C_\alpha \cap \beta$.*

By $\text{WRP}(S_0, S_1)$, there is $X \subseteq \kappa$ such that $\text{cf}(\sup(X)) = \omega_1$, $\alpha, \beta \in X$, and both $S_{0,\alpha} \cap [X]^\omega$ and $S_{1,\beta} \cap [X]^\omega$ are stationary. Then for almost all $x \in S_{0,\alpha} \cap [X]^\omega$,

$$C_\alpha \cap \sup(x \cap \alpha) = C_{\sup(x)} \cap \sup(x \cap \alpha) = C_{\sup(X)} \cap \sup(x \cap \alpha).$$

Since $\{\sup(x \cap \alpha) : x \in S_{0,\alpha} \cap [X]^\omega\}$ is unbounded in $\sup(X \cap \alpha)$,

$$C_\alpha \cap \sup(X \cap \alpha) = C_{\sup(X)} \cap \sup(X \cap \alpha).$$

Similarly,

$$C_\beta \cap \sup(X \cap \beta) = C_{\sup(X)} \cap \sup(X \cap \beta).$$

So

$$C_\beta \cap \sup(X \cap \alpha) = C_\alpha \cap \sup(X \cap \alpha).$$

Since the set of $X \in [\kappa]^{\omega_1}$ at which $S_{0,\alpha}$ and $S_{1,\beta}$ reflect is stationary, we have $C_\alpha = C_\beta \cap \alpha$.

Finally, let $C = \bigcup \{C_\alpha : \alpha \in A_0\}$. Then $C \cap \alpha = C_\alpha$ for every $\alpha \in \lim(C)$. Hence $\langle C_\alpha \rangle$ is not a $\square(\kappa)$ -sequence.

Proposition 21. *Suppose PFA^{++} . Then every c.c.c. poset \mathbb{P} forces “ $\text{WRP}([\kappa]^\omega)^V, ([\kappa]^\omega)^V$ for every κ ”.*

So $\text{WRP}(S_0, S_1)$ also does not decide 2^ω .

Proposition 22. *Suppose there is a weakly compact cardinal. Then there is a forcing extension in which the following hold:*

- ① $\text{WRP}([\omega_2]^\omega)$ holds.
- ② $\text{WRP}(S_0, S_1)$ holds for some stationary $S_0, S_1 \subseteq [\omega_2]^\omega$.
- ③ But $\text{WRP}^2([\omega_2]^\omega)$ fails.

ご清聴ありがとうございました.